arXiv:math/0602417v1 [math.QA] 20 Feb 2006

Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths of level-zero weight shape and one-dimensional sums associated to level-zero fundamental representations

Satoshi Naito
Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba,
Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan (e-mail: `naito@math.tsukuba.ac.jp`)

and

Daisuke Sagaki
Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba,
Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan (e-mail: `sagaki@math.tsukuba.ac.jp`)

Abstract

We give interpretations of energy functions and (classically restricted) one-dimensional sums associated to tensor products of level-zero fundamental representations of quantum affine algebras in terms of Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths of level-zero weight shape.

1 Introduction.

A one-dimensional (configuration) sum (1dsum for short) is a weighted sum over certain one-dimensional configurations, where the weights are given by an "energy function", and arose from the studies of solvable lattice models in statistical mechanics by Baxter's corner transfer matrix method. However, the crystal basis theory of Kashiwara provided an intrinsic definition of a 1dsum, and a conceptual proof of the fact that in the infinite lattice size limit, a 1dsum tends to the character of a highest weight module over an affine Lie algebra (see [KMN]). The purpose of this paper is to give interpretations of energy functions and hence of classically restricted 1dsums associated to tensor products of certain finite-dimensional irreducible modules, called level-zero fundamental representations, over a quantum affine algebra via Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths (LS paths for short) of level-zero weight shape.

Let us explain our results more precisely. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an affine Lie algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ with Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$, integral weight lattice $P \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$, simple roots $\{\alpha_j\}_{j\in I} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$, null root $\delta = \sum_{j\in I} a_j\alpha_j \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, Weyl group W, and level-zero fundamental weights $\{\varpi_i\}_{i\in I_0} \subset P$, where I is an index set with a distinguished index "0", and $I_0 := I \setminus \{0\}$. Also, let $\mathfrak{g}_{I_0}$ be the canonical finite-dimensional Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to the subset $I_0 \subset I$. We denote by $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$ the quantum affine algebra with weight lattice $P_{\mathrm{cl}} := \mathrm{cl}(P) \subset \mathfrak{h}^*/\mathbb{Q}\delta$, where $\mathrm{cl} : \mathfrak{h}^* \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*/\mathbb{Q}\delta$ is the canonical projection, and by $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})_{I_0}$ the subalgebra of $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$ corresponding to the subset $I_0 \subset I$. In [HKOTY], [HKOTT], to equate with fermionic formulas M originated from the Bethe Ansatz in solvable lattice models, they introduced a special kind of classically restricted 1dsums X as a specific q-analogue of the multiplicities of an irreducible $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})_{I_0}$-module in tensor products of the so-called Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules (KR modules for short) $W_s^{(i)}$, $i \in I_0$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, over $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$, under the assumption that the KR modules $W_s^{(i)}$, $i \in I_0$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, have "simple" crystal bases $\mathcal{B}^{i,s}$, called KR crystals. Here the KR modules $W_s^{(i)}$, $i \in I_0$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, are finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-modules having the conjectural irreducible decomposition as a $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})_{I_0}$-module.

Soon afterward, in [Kas3], Kashiwara constructed finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-modules $W(\varpi_i)$, $i \in I_0$, called level-zero fundamental representations, and proved that they have simple crystal bases $\mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)$. In a series of papers [NS1]–[NS4], we studied the crystal $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ of all LS paths of shape λ for a level-zero integral weight $\lambda \in P_+^0 := \sum_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \varpi_i$ of the form $\lambda = \sum_{i \in I_0} \lambda^{(i)} \varpi_i$ with $\lambda_{(i)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and also the associated P_{cl}-weighted crystal (P_{cl}-crystal for short) $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$. The main results of [NS1] and [NS2] show that the P_{cl}-crystal $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is isomorphic to the crystal basis $\mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)$ of $W(\varpi_i)$ for each $i \in I_0$.

We should mention that through enough evidence (see, for example, [Kas4] and [FL]), it is confirmed that the level-zero fundamental representation $W(\varpi_i)$ is indeed the KR module $W_1^{(i)}$, and hence the crystal basis $\mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)$ is indeed the KR crystal $\mathcal{B}^{i,1}$ for every $i \in I_0$. In this paper, following the definition in [HKOTY] and [HKOTT] of classically restricted 1dsums X associated to tensor products of KR crystals $\mathcal{B}^{i,s}$, $i \in I_0$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we define classically restricted 1dsums associated to tensor products of the simple crystals $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ ($\simeq \mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)$), $i \in I_0$, in place of $\mathcal{B}^{i,1}$, $i \in I_0$, as follows. Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$ be a sequence of elements of I_0 (with repetitions allowed), and set $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}} := \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_1})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_2})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_n})_{\mathrm{cl}}$. Then, for an element $\mu \in \mathrm{cl}(P_+^0) = \sum_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \, \mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)$, the classically restricted 1dsum $X(\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mu; q)$ is defined by:

$$X(\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mu; q) = \sum_{\substack{b \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}} \\ e_j b = \mathbf{0} \ (j \in I_0) \\ \mathrm{wt}\, b = \mu}} q^{D_{\mathbf{i}}(b)},$$

where $D_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}} \to \mathbb{Z}$ is the energy function associated to the tensor product P_{cl}-crystal $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ (see §4.1 for its definition), and e_j, $j \in I_0$, are the (raising) root operators on $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ for the canonical Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{I_0}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Now, for a level-zero integral weight $\lambda \in P$ of the form $\lambda = \sum_{i \in I_0} \lambda^{(i)} \varpi_i$ with $\lambda^{(i)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define a function $\mathrm{Deg}_\lambda : \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ on the crystal $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ as follows. The P_{cl}-

crystal $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is, by definition, the set of all paths $[0,1] \to \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*/\mathbb{R}\delta$ of the form $\mathrm{cl}(\pi) = \mathrm{cl} \circ \pi$, where $\pi : [0,1] \to \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^* := \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathfrak{h}^*$ is an LS path of shape λ and $\mathrm{cl} : \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^* \to \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*/\mathbb{R}\delta$ is the canonical projection. Let $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$. Then there exists a unique LS path $\pi_\eta \in \mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)$ such that $\mathrm{cl}(\pi_\eta) = \eta$ and such that the "initial direction" $\nu_1 \in W\lambda$ of π_η lies in the set $\lambda - \mathring{Q}_+$, where $\mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)$ denotes the connected component of $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ containing the straight line $\pi_\lambda(t) = t\lambda$, $t \in [0,1]$, and $\mathring{Q}_+ := \sum_{j \in I_0} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_j$. Note that $\pi_\eta(1) \in P$ is of the form $\lambda - a_0^{-1}\beta + a_0^{-1}k\delta$, with $\beta \in \mathring{Q}_+$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Thus the degree $\mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ of $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is defined to be the nonpositive integer $-k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$.

We recall from [NS3] that for every sequence $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n)$ of elements of I_0, the tensor product P_{cl}-crystal $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is isomorphic to the P_{cl}-crystal $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$, where $\lambda := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varpi_{i_k} \in P_+^0$. Thus, there exists a (unique) isomorphism of P_{cl}-crystals

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_1})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_2})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_n})_{\mathrm{cl}}.$$

Our main result of this paper is the following description of the energy function $D_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}} \to \mathbb{Z}$ associated to the P_{cl}-crystal $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ in terms of the function $\mathrm{Deg}_\lambda : \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$.

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n)$ be an arbitrary sequence of elements of I_0, and set $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}} := \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_1})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_2})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_n})_{\mathrm{cl}}$, $\lambda := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varpi_{i_k} \in P_+^0$. Then, for every $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$, the following equation holds:*

$$\mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta) = D_{\mathbf{i}}(\Psi_{\mathbf{i}}(\eta)) - D_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{ext}},$$

where the $D_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{ext}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a specific constant (see §4.1 for its explicit definition).

Because the root operators e_j, $j \in I_0$, on $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ and those on $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ are compatible with the isomorphism $\Psi_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \to \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ of P_{cl}-crystals, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2. *Keep the notation of Theorem 1. For every $\mu \in \mathrm{cl}(P_+^0) = \sum_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\,\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)$, the following equation holds:*

$$\sum_{\substack{\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \\ e_j\eta = \mathbf{0}\ (j \in I_0) \\ \eta(1) = \mu}} q^{\mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta)} = q^{-D_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{ext}}} X(\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mu; q),$$

where e_j, $j \in I_0$, are the (raising) root operators on $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$.

Now we restrict our attention to the case in which $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $A_{\ell-1}^{(1)}$. Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n)$ be an arbitrary sequence of elements of $I_0 = \{1, 2, \ldots, \ell - 1\}$ such that $i_1 \geq i_2 \geq \cdots \geq i_n$, and set $\lambda := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varpi_{i_k} \in P_+^0$; we denote this sequence $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n)$ by $\lambda^\dagger$ when we regard it as a partition (or a Young diagram). Also, in the following, we identify an element $\mu = \sum_{i \in I_0} \mu^{(i)}\,\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i) \in \mathrm{cl}(P_+^0)$ with the partition

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \mu^{(i)},\ \sum_{i=2}^{\ell-1} \mu^{(i)},\ \ldots,\ \mu^{(\ell-1)} \right).$$

Then, from [NY, Corollary 4.3], we deduce (see §4.7 for details) that for every $\mu \in \mathrm{cl}(P_+^0) = \sum_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \, \mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)$, the Kostka-Foulkes polynomial $K_{\mu^t,\, \lambda^\dagger}(q)$ associated to the conjugate (or transpose) μ^t of the partition μ and the partition $\lambda^\dagger$ is equal to the following:

$$X(\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mu; q^{-1}) = \sum_{\substack{b \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}} \\ e_j b = \mathbf{0} \ (j \in I_0) \\ \mathrm{wt}\, b = \mu}} q^{-D_{\mathbf{i}}(b)}.$$

Thus we obtain the following expression for the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials in terms of LS paths (note that the constant $D_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{ext}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ vanishes in the case of type $A_{\ell-1}^{(1)}$).

Corollary 3. *Assume that* $\mathfrak{g}$ *is of type* $A_{\ell-1}^{(1)}$. *Let* $\mu \in \mathrm{cl}(P_+^0) = \sum_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \, \mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)$. *Then, with the notation above, we have*

$$K_{\mu^t,\, \lambda^\dagger}(q) = \sum_{\substack{\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \\ e_j \eta = \mathbf{0} \, (j \in I_0) \\ \eta(1) = \mu}} q^{-\mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta)}.$$

This paper is organized as follows. In §2, we first fix our notation for quantum affine algebras. Then we briefly review some fundamental facts about LS path crystals with weight lattice P or P_{cl}, and those about simple P_{cl}-crystals for quantum affine algebras. In §3, we define our "degree functions" on P_{cl}-crystals of LS paths of level-zero weight shape, and show some of their basic properties. In §4, we first state our main result (Theorem 1) describing energy functions associated to level-zero fundamental representations. Then, we give a proof of it after showing a key proposition to our proof. Finally, we mention the relation to classically restricted 1dsums and the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials (Corollaries 2 and 3).

2 Preliminaries.

2.1 Affine Lie algebras and quantum affine algebras. Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ be a generalized Cartan matrix of affine type. Throughout this paper, we assume that the elements of the index set I are numbered as in [Kac, §4.8, Tables Aff 1–Aff 3]. Take a special vertex $0 \in I$ as in these tables, and set $I_0 := I \setminus \{0\}$. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$ be the affine Lie algebra associated to the Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ of affine type over the field $\mathbb{Q}$ of rational numbers, and let $\mathfrak{h}$ be its Cartan subalgebra. Note that $\mathfrak{h} = \left(\bigoplus_{j \in I} \mathbb{Q} h_j \right) \oplus \mathbb{Q} d$, where $\Pi^\vee := \{h_j\}_{j \in I} \subset \mathfrak{h}$ is the set of simple coroots, and $d \in \mathfrak{h}$ is the scaling element. Also, we denote by $\Pi := \{\alpha_j\}_{j \in I} \subset \mathfrak{h}^* := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{Q})$ the set of simple roots, and by $\Lambda_j \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, $j \in I$, the fundamental weights; note that $\alpha_j(d) = \delta_{j,0}$ and $\Lambda_j(d) = 0$ for $j \in I$. Let $\delta = \sum_{j \in I} a_j \alpha_j \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and $c = \sum_{j \in I} a_j^\vee h_j \in \mathfrak{h}$ be the null root and the canonical central element of $\mathfrak{g}$, respectively. Here we should note that $a_0 = 2$ if $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $A_{2\ell}^{(2)}$, and $a_0 = 1$

otherwise. We define the Weyl group W of $\mathfrak{g}$ by: $W = \langle r_j \mid j \in I \rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$, where $r_j \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ is the simple reflection associated to α_j for $j \in I$, and then define the set Δ^{re} of real roots by: $\Delta^{\mathrm{re}} = W\Pi$. The set of positive real roots is denoted by $\Delta^{\mathrm{re}}_+ \subset \Delta^{\mathrm{re}}$. Also, let us denote by $(\cdot\,,\,\cdot)$ the (standard) bilinear form on $\mathfrak{h}^*$ normalized so that $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all i, $j \in I$.

We take a dual weight lattice $P^\vee$ and a weight lattice P as follows:

$$P^\vee = \left(\bigoplus_{j\in I} \mathbb{Z}h_j\right) \oplus \mathbb{Z}d \subset \mathfrak{h} \quad \text{and} \quad P = \left(\bigoplus_{j\in I} \mathbb{Z}\Lambda_j\right) \oplus \mathbb{Z}a_0^{-1}\delta \subset \mathfrak{h}^*. \tag{2.1.1}$$

It is clear that P contains all the simple roots α_j, $j \in I$, and that $P \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P^\vee, \mathbb{Z})$. The quintuplet $(A, P, P^\vee, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$ is called a Cartan datum for the generalized Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ of affine type (see [HK, Definition 2.1.1]).

Let $\mathfrak{g}_{I_0}$ be the canonical finite-dimensional Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by x_j, y_j, $j \in I_0$, and h_j, $j \in I$, where x_j (resp., y_j) is a nonzero root vector of $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to the simple root α_j (resp., $-\alpha_j$); note that $\mathfrak{h}_{I_0} := \bigoplus_{j\in I} \mathbb{Q}h_j$ is the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{I_0}$. We denote by $\overset{\circ}{W}$ the subgroup of W generated by r_j, $j \in I_0$, which can be thought of as the Weyl group of the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{I_0} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Let $w_0 \in \overset{\circ}{W}$ denote the longest element of $\overset{\circ}{W}$.

Definition 2.1.1. An integral weight $\lambda \in P$ is said to be level zero if $\lambda(c) = 0$. In addition, a level-zero integral weight $\lambda \in P$ is said to be level-zero dominant (resp., strictly level-zero dominant) if $\lambda(h_j) \geq 0$ (resp., $\lambda(h_j) > 0$) for all $j \in I_0$.

For each $i \in I_0 = I \setminus \{0\}$, we define a level-zero fundamental weight $\varpi_i \in P$ by:

$$\varpi_i = \Lambda_i - a_i^\vee \Lambda_0. \tag{2.1.2}$$

Note that the ϖ_i is actually a level-zero dominant integral weight; in fact, $\varpi(c) = 0$ and $\varpi_i(h_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ for $j \in I_0$. We set

$$P^0_+ := \sum_{i\in I_0} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\varpi_i. \tag{2.1.3}$$

The next lemma follows immediately from [NS4, Lemma 2.3.2 and Remark 4.1.1] and the proof of [NS4, Lemma 2.3.3] by noting the linear independence of α_j, $j \in I_0$, and δ.

Lemma 2.1.2. *Let $\lambda \in P^0_+$ be a level-zero dominant integral weight. Then, every element ν in the W-orbit $W\lambda$ of λ can be written uniquely as: $\nu = \lambda - \beta + kd_\lambda\delta$ for some $\beta \in \overset{\circ}{Q}_+$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\overset{\circ}{Q}_+ := \sum_{j\in I_0} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_j$, and the positive integer $d_\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is defined by: $W\lambda \cap (\lambda + \mathbb{Z}\delta) = \lambda + \mathbb{Z}d_\lambda\delta$. Furthermore, for the $\beta \in \overset{\circ}{Q}_+$ above, there exists some $w \in \overset{\circ}{W}$ such that $w\lambda = \lambda - \beta$.*

Let $\lambda \in P$ be an integral weight. For $\mu, \nu \in W\lambda$, we write $\mu > \nu$ if there exist a sequence $\mu = \nu_0, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n = \nu$ of elements of $W\lambda$ and a sequence $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n$ of positive real roots such that $\nu_k = r_{\xi_k}(\nu_{k-1})$ and $\nu_{k-1}(\xi_k^\vee) \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ for all $1 \le k \le n$, where $\xi_k^\vee \in \mathfrak{h}$ denotes the dual root of $\xi_k \in \Delta_+^{\mathrm{re}}$, and r_{ξ_k} denotes the associated reflection; we write $\mu \ge \nu$ if $\mu > \nu$ or $\mu = \nu$.

Remark 2.1.3. Let $\lambda \in P_+^0$ be a level-zero dominant integral weight, and let $\nu, \nu' \in W\lambda$ be such that $\nu > \nu'$. Write ν and ν' as $\nu = \lambda - \beta + kd_\lambda\delta$ and $\nu' = \lambda - \beta' + k'd_\lambda\delta$ for $\beta, \beta \in \overset{\circ}{Q}_+$ and $k, k' \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see Lemma 2.1.2), respectively. Then we deduce from [NS4, Remark 2.4.3 (1)] that either $k < k'$ holds, or $k = k'$ and $\beta - \beta' \in \overset{\circ}{Q}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ holds.

Now, let $\mathrm{cl} : \mathfrak{h}^* \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*/\mathbb{Q}\delta$ be the canonical projection from $\mathfrak{h}^*$ onto $\mathfrak{h}^*/\mathbb{Q}\delta$, and define a classical weight lattice P_{cl} and a classical dual weight lattice $P_{\mathrm{cl}}^\vee$ by:

$$P_{\mathrm{cl}} = \mathrm{cl}(P) = \bigoplus_{j\in I} \mathbb{Z}\,\mathrm{cl}(\Lambda_j) \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\mathrm{cl}}^\vee = \bigoplus_{j\in I} \mathbb{Z}h_j \subset P^\vee. \tag{2.1.4}$$

We see that $P_{\mathrm{cl}} \simeq P/(\mathbb{Q}\delta \cap P)$, and that P_{cl} can be identified with $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P_{\mathrm{cl}}^\vee, \mathbb{Z})$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module by: $(\mathrm{cl}(\lambda))(h) = \lambda(h)$ for $\lambda \in P$ and $h \in P_{\mathrm{cl}}^\vee$. The quintuple $(A, \mathrm{cl}(\Pi), \Pi^\vee, P_{\mathrm{cl}}, P_{\mathrm{cl}}^\vee)$ is called a classical Cartan datum (see [HK, §10.1]). Note that there exists a natural action of the Weyl group W on $\mathfrak{h}^*/\mathbb{Q}\delta$ induced from the one on $\mathfrak{h}^*$, since $W\delta = \delta$. It is obvious that $w \circ \mathrm{cl} = \mathrm{cl} \circ w$ for all $w \in W$. If we set $\mathfrak{h}^{*0} := \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \lambda(c) = 0\}$, then there exists a (positive definite) symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot\,,\,\cdot)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ on $\mathrm{cl}(\mathfrak{h}^{*0}) = \mathfrak{h}^{*0}/\mathbb{Q}\delta$ induced from the restriction to $\mathfrak{h}^{*0}$ of the standard bilinear form $(\cdot\,,\,\cdot)$ on $\mathfrak{h}^*$, since $(\delta, \mathfrak{h}^{*0}) = \{0\}$.

Definition 2.1.4. An integral weight $\mu \in P_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is said to be level zero if $\mu(c) = 0$. A level-zero integral weight $\mu \in P_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is said to be level-zero dominant (resp., strictly level-zero dominant) if $\lambda(h_j) \ge 0$ (resp., $\lambda(h_j) > 0$) for all $j \in I_0$.

Remark 2.1.5. Let $\lambda \in P_+^0$ be a level-zero dominant integral weight. It is easily to verify that $\mathrm{cl}(W\lambda) = \overset{\circ}{W}\,\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)$ (see the proof of [NS4, Lemma 2.3.3]). Also, we see that $\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)$ is the unique level-zero dominant integral weight in $\mathrm{cl}(W\lambda) = \overset{\circ}{W}\,\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)$, and that $\mathrm{cl}(w_0\lambda) = w_0\,\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)$ is the unique element of $\mathrm{cl}(W\lambda) = \overset{\circ}{W}\,\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)$ such that $(\mathrm{cl}(w_0\lambda))(h_j) = (w_0\,\mathrm{cl}(\lambda))(h_j) \le 0$ for all $j \in I_0$.

Let $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$ be the quantized universal enveloping algebra of the affine Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with weight lattice P_{cl} over the field $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ of rational functions in q. We denote by x_j, y_j, $j \in I$, and q^h, $h \in P_{\mathrm{cl}}^\vee$, the canonical generators of $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$, where x_j (resp., y_j) corresponds to the simple root α_j (resp., $-\alpha_j$) for $j \in I$.

2.2 Crystals of LS paths with weight lattice P, or P_{cl}. A path (with weight in P) is, by definition, a piecewise linear, continuous map $\pi : [0,1] \to \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^* := \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathfrak{h}^*$ such that

$\pi(0) = 0$ and $\pi(1) \in P \subset \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$. We denote by $\mathbb{P}$ the set of all paths $\pi : [0, 1] \to \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$. For each $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \mathbb{P}$, we define a path $\pi_1 \pm \pi_2 \in \mathbb{P}$ by: $(\pi_1 \pm \pi_2)(t) = \pi_1(t) \pm \pi_2(t)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. For an integral weight $\nu \in P$, let π_ν denote the straight line connecting $0 \in P$ with $\nu \in P$, i.e., $\pi_\nu(t) := t\nu$ for $t \in [0, 1]$.

Let $\pi \in \mathbb{P}$. A pair $(\underline{\nu}\,; \underline{\sigma})$ of a sequence $\underline{\nu} : \nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_s$ of elements of $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ and a sequence $\underline{\sigma} : 0 = \sigma_0 < \sigma_1 < \cdots < \sigma_s = 1$ of rational numbers is called an expression of $\pi \in \mathbb{P}$ if the following equation holds:

$$\pi(t) = \sum_{u'=1}^{u-1} (\sigma_{u'} - \sigma_{u'-1})\nu_{u'} + (t - \sigma_{u-1})\nu_u \quad \text{for} \quad \sigma_{u-1} \le t \le \sigma_u, \ 1 \le u \le s. \tag{2.2.1}$$

In this case, we write $\pi = (\underline{\nu}\,; \underline{\sigma})$. An expression $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_s\,; \underline{\sigma})$ of π is said to be reduced if $\nu_u \ne \nu_{u+1}$ for any $u = 1, 2, \ldots, s-1$.

Remark 2.2.1 *(see [NS4, Remark 2.5.2]).* Let $\pi \in \mathbb{P}$. We easily see that there exists a unique reduced expression of π. Also, if $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_s\,; \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s)$ is an expression of π, then the reduced expression of π is obtained from this expression by "omitting" ν_u's such that $\nu_u = \nu_{u+1}$ and corresponding σ_u's .

Definition 2.2.2. Let $\pi = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_s\,; \underline{\sigma})$ be an expression of $\pi \in \mathbb{P}$. We call $\nu_1 \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ (resp., $\nu_s \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$) the initial (resp., final) direction of π; it is easy to check that these elements $\nu_1, \nu_s \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ do not depend on the choice of an expression of π. The initial (resp., final) direction of π is denoted by $\iota(\pi)$ (resp., $\kappa(\pi)$).

Remark 2.2.3. Let $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \mathbb{P}$. We easily see that $\iota(\pi_1 \pm \pi_2) = \iota(\pi_1) \pm \iota(\pi_2)$ and $\kappa(\pi_1 \pm \pi_2) = \kappa(\pi_1) \pm \kappa(\pi_2)$.

Let $\lambda \in P$ be an integral weight. Recall from [NS4, Definition 2.6.1] (see also [L2, §4]) that a Lakshmibai-Seshadri path (LS path for short) of shape λ is a path $\pi \in \mathbb{P}$ having an expression of the form $\pi = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_s\,; \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s)$, where $\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_s \in W\lambda$, and where for each $1 \le u \le s-1$, there exists a "σ_u-chain" for (ν_u, ν_{u+1}) (see [L2, §4] and [NS4, Definition 2.4.5] for the definition of "σ_u-chain"). We denote by $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ the set of all LS paths of shape λ.

Remark 2.2.4 *(see [NS4, Remark 2.6.2]).* Let $\lambda \in P$ be an integral weight. The straight line $\pi_\nu(t) := t\nu$, $t \in [0, 1]$, is an element of $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ for all $\nu \in W\lambda$.

Lemma 2.2.5. *Let $\lambda \in P_+^0$ be a level-zero dominant integral weight. If $\pi \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)$, then $\pi + \pi_{kd_\lambda\delta} \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We know from [NS4, Lemma 2.7.4] that $\pi + \pi_{kd_\lambda\delta} \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda + kd_\lambda\delta)$. Because there exists $w \in W$ such that $w(\lambda) = \lambda + kd_\lambda\delta$ by the definition of d_λ, we deduce from the definition of LS paths that $\mathbb{B}(\lambda + kd_\lambda\delta) = \mathbb{B}(w(\lambda)) = \mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ (see [NS4, Remark 2.6.3 (3)]). This proves the lemma. □

Following [L1, §1.2 and §1.3] and [L2, §1] (see also [GL, §5.1]), we recall the definition of the root operators e_j and f_j, $j \in I$, for $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$. Let $\pi \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)$, and $j \in I$. We set

$$H_j^\pi(t) := (\pi(t))(h_j) \quad \text{for } t \in [0,1], \qquad m_j^\pi := \min\bigl\{H_j^\pi(t) \mid t \in [0,1]\bigr\}. \tag{2.2.2}$$

Then we define $e_j\pi$ as follows (note that $m_j^\pi \in \mathbb{Z}_{\le 0}$ by [L2, Lemma 4.5 d)]). If $m_j^\pi = 0$, then $e_j\pi := \mathbf{0}$, where the $\mathbf{0}$ is an additional element corresponding to "0" in the theory of crystals. If $m_j^\pi \le -1$, then we define $e_j\pi \in \mathbb{P}$ by:

$$(e_j\pi)(t) = \begin{cases} \pi(t) & \text{if } 0 \le t \le t_0, \\ \pi(t_0) + r_j(\pi(t) - \pi(t_0)) & \text{if } t_0 \le t \le t_1, \\ \pi(t) + \alpha_j & \text{if } t_1 \le t \le 1, \end{cases} \tag{2.2.3}$$

where we set

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &:= \min\bigl\{t \in [0,1] \mid H_j^\pi(t) = m_j^\pi\bigr\}, \\ t_0 &:= \max\bigl\{t \in [0,t_1] \mid H_j^\pi(t) = m_j^\pi + 1\bigr\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.4}$$

Similarly, $f_j\pi \in \mathbb{P} \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$ is given as follows (note that $H_j^\pi(1) - m_j^\pi \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ by [L2, Lemma 4.5 d)] and $\pi(1) \in P$). If $H_j^\pi(1) - m_j^\pi = 0$, then $f_j\pi := \mathbf{0}$. If $H_j^\pi(1) - m_j^\pi \ge 1$, then we define $f_j\pi \in \mathbb{P}$ by:

$$(f_j\pi)(t) = \begin{cases} \pi(t) & \text{if } 0 \le t \le t_0, \\ \pi(t_0) + r_j(\pi(t) - \pi(t_0)) & \text{if } t_0 \le t \le t_1, \\ \pi(t) - \alpha_j & \text{if } t_1 \le t \le 1, \end{cases} \tag{2.2.5}$$

where we set

$$\begin{aligned} t_0 &:= \max\bigl\{t \in [0,1] \mid H_j^\pi(t) = m_j^\pi\bigr\}, \\ t_1 &:= \min\bigl\{t \in [t_0,1] \mid H_j^\pi(t) = m_j^\pi + 1\bigr\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.6}$$

Using the root operators e_j and f_j, $j \in I$, we can endow the set $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ of all LS paths of shape $\lambda \in P$ with a structure of (P-weighted) crystal, i.e., a structure of crystal associated to the Cartan datum $(A, P, P^\vee, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$ (see [L2, §§2 and 4] and also [NS2, Theorems 1.2.3 and 1.4.5]). In fact, it follows from [L2, Lemma 2.1 c)] that

$$-m_j^\pi = \max\bigl\{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0} \mid e_j^l\pi \ne \mathbf{0}\bigr\}, \tag{2.2.7}$$

$$H_j^\pi(1) - m_j^\pi = \max\bigl\{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0} \mid f_j^l\pi \ne \mathbf{0}\bigr\}, \tag{2.2.8}$$

and hence that $\varepsilon_j(\pi) = -m_j^\pi$ and $\varphi_j(\pi) = H_j^\pi(1) - m_j^\pi$.

Let $\lambda \in P$ be an integral weight. For $\pi \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)$, define a piecewise linear, continuous map $\mathrm{cl}(\pi) : [0,1] \to \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}^*/\mathbb{R}\delta$ by: $(\mathrm{cl}(\pi))(t) = \mathrm{cl}(\pi(t))$ for $t \in [0,1]$, where $\mathrm{cl} : \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}^* \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}^*/\mathbb{R}\delta$ is the canonical projection. We set $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} := \bigl\{\mathrm{cl}(\pi) \mid \pi \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)\bigr\}$.

Remark 2.2.6. We see from Remark 2.2.4 that the straight line $\eta_\mu(t) = t\mu$, $t \in [0,1]$, is contained in $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ for all $\mu \in \mathrm{cl}(W\lambda) = \mathring{W}\,\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)$.

An expression and a reduced expression of $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ are defined similarly to those for the case of $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$. In addition, for $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$, we define the initial and final directions of η (which do not depend on the choice of an expression of η) as in Definition 2.2.2, and also denote the initial (resp., final) direction of $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ by $\iota(\eta)$ (resp., $\kappa(\eta)$).

Remark 2.2.7. Let $\pi = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_s\,;\,\underline{\sigma})$ be an expression of $\pi \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)$. Then, $\mathrm{cl}(\pi) \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ has an expression $\mathrm{cl}(\pi) = (\mathrm{cl}(\nu_1), \mathrm{cl}(\nu_2), \ldots, \mathrm{cl}(\nu_s)\,;\,\underline{\sigma})$. It follows that

$$\begin{cases} \iota(\mathrm{cl}(\pi)) = \mathrm{cl}(\iota(\pi)) \in \mathrm{cl}(W\lambda) = \mathring{W}\,\mathrm{cl}(\lambda) \\ \kappa(\mathrm{cl}(\pi)) = \mathrm{cl}(\kappa(\pi)) \in \mathrm{cl}(W\lambda) = \mathring{W}\,\mathrm{cl}(\lambda) \end{cases} \quad \text{for every } \pi \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda).$$

Let $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$, and $j \in I$. We set $H^\eta_j(t) := (\eta(t))(h_j)$, $t \in [0,1]$, and define m^η_j to be the minimum of the function $H^\eta_j(t)$ on the interval $[0,1]$. It is obvious that for every $\pi \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ and $j \in I$,

$$H_j^{\mathrm{cl}(\pi)}(t) = H_j^\pi(t) \text{ for all } t \in [0,1], \text{ and hence } m_j^{\mathrm{cl}(\pi)} = m_j^\pi. \tag{2.2.9}$$

Remark 2.2.8. Let $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$, and $j \in I$. We see from [L2, Lemma 4.5 d)] and (2.2.9) that $\eta(1) \in P_{\mathrm{cl}}$, and that all local minimums of the function $H^\eta_j(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$, are integers. In particular, the minimum m^η_j of the function $H^\eta_j(t)$ on the interval $[0,1]$ is a nonpositive integer, and $H^\eta_j(1) - m^\eta_j$ is a nonnegative integer.

We define $e_j\eta$, $f_j\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$ for $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ and $j \in I$ in the same way as in the case of $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$. We easily see from (2.2.9) that

$$\mathrm{cl}(e_j\pi) = e_j\,\mathrm{cl}(\pi), \quad \mathrm{cl}(f_j\pi) = f_j\,\mathrm{cl}(\pi) \quad \text{for } \pi \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda) \text{ and } j \in I, \tag{2.2.10}$$

where $\mathrm{cl}(\mathbf{0})$ is understood to be $\mathbf{0}$.

Remark 2.2.9. Let $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$, and $j \in I$. It follows from the definition of the root operator e_j that if $e_j\eta \neq \mathbf{0}$, then the initial direction $\iota(e_j\eta)$ is equal either to $\iota(\eta)$ or to $r_j(\iota(\eta))$.

We know from [NS3, Theorem 2.4 and §3.1] that the set $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ equipped with the root operators e_j and f_j, $j \in I$, becomes a P_{cl}-weighted crystal (P_{cl}-crystal for short), i.e., a crystal associated to the classical Cartan datum $(A, \mathrm{cl}(\Pi), \Pi^\vee, P_{\mathrm{cl}}, P^\vee_{\mathrm{cl}})$, and that the following equations hold:

$$-\,m^\eta_j = \max\bigl\{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid e^l_j\eta \neq \mathbf{0}\bigr\} = \varepsilon_j(\eta), \tag{2.2.11}$$

$$H^\eta_j(1) - m^\eta_j = \max\bigl\{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid f^l_j\eta \neq \mathbf{0}\bigr\} = \varphi_j(\eta). \tag{2.2.12}$$

For each $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ and $j \in I$, we set $e^{\max}_j\eta := e^{\varepsilon_j(\eta)}_j\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$. The proof of the next lemma is similar to that of [L1, 5.3 Lemma] (see Remark 2.2.8).

Lemma 2.2.10. *Let $\lambda \in P$ be an integral weight, and let $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$, $j \in I$.*
(1) If the initial direction $\iota(\eta) \in P_{\mathrm{cl}}$ of η satisfies $(\iota(\eta))(h_j) < 0$, then $e_j\eta \neq \mathbf{0}$.
(2) For all $0 \le l \le \varepsilon_j(\eta) - 1$, we have $\iota(e_j^l\eta) = \iota(\eta)$.
(3) If $(\iota(\eta))(h_j) \le 0$, then we have $\iota(e_j^{\max}\eta) = r_j(\iota(\eta))$.

Using Lemma 2.2.10 (3), we can show the following lemma by induction on p.

Lemma 2.2.11. *Let $\lambda \in P$ be an integral weight. Let $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$, and set $\mu := \iota(\eta) \in P_{\mathrm{cl}}$. If $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_p \in I$ satisfy the condition that $\bigl(r_{j_{p'}} r_{j_{p'-1}} \cdots r_{j_1}(\mu)\bigr)(h_{j_{p'+1}}) \le 0$ for all $p' = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$, then the initial direction of $e_{j_p}^{\max} e_{j_{p-1}}^{\max} \cdots e_{j_1}^{\max}(\eta) \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is equal to $r_{j_p} r_{j_{p-1}} \cdots r_{j_1}(\mu)$.*

Lemma 2.2.12. *Let $\lambda \in P$ be an integral weight. Let $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$, and set $\mu := \kappa(\eta) \in P_{\mathrm{cl}}$. If $j \in I$ satisfies $\mu(h_j) > 0$, then $f_j\eta \neq \mathbf{0}$ holds.*

Proof. From the assumption of the lemma, we deduce that $H_j^\pi(1) - m_j^\pi > 0$. It follows from Remark 2.2.8 that $H_j^\pi(1) - m_j^\pi \ge 1$. Hence, by (2.2.12), we have $\varphi_j(\eta) \ge 1$, which implies that $f_j\eta \neq \mathbf{0}$. This proves the lemma. □

2.3 Regular crystals and simple crystals. For a proper subset J of I, we set $A_J := (a_{ij})_{i,j\in J}$, $\Pi_J := \bigl\{\alpha_j\bigr\}_{j\in J} \subset \Pi$, and $\Pi_J^\vee := \bigl\{h_j\bigr\}_{j\in J} \subset \Pi^\vee$. When we regard a P_{cl}-crystal $\mathcal{B}$ as a crystal associated to the Cartan datum $(A_J, \mathrm{cl}(\Pi_J), \Pi_J^\vee, P_{\mathrm{cl}}, P_{\mathrm{cl}}^\vee)$, we denote it by $\mathrm{res}_J\,\mathcal{B}$. Also, we denote by $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})_J$ the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-subalgebra of $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by x_j, y_j, $j \in J$, and q^h, $h \in P_{\mathrm{cl}}^\vee$. Recall that a P_{cl}-crystal $\mathcal{B}$ is said to be regular if for every proper subset $J \subsetneq I$, $\mathrm{res}_J\,\mathcal{B}$ is isomorphic to the crystal base of an integrable $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})_J$-module.

Remark 2.3.1. Let $\lambda \in P$ be a level-zero integral weight. We know from [NS3, Proposition 3.13] that $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is a regular P_{cl}-crystal with finitely many elements.

If $\mathcal{B}$ is a regular P_{cl}-crystal with Kashiwara operators e_j and f_j, $j \in I$, then we set $e_j^{\max}b := e_j^{\varepsilon_j(b)}b \in \mathcal{B}$ for $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and $j \in I$, where $\varepsilon_j(b) := \max\bigl\{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0} \mid e_j^l b \neq \mathbf{0}\bigr\}$. For regular P_{cl}-crystals $\mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_2$, we define the tensor product P_{cl}-crystal $\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2$ of $\mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_2$ as in [Kas2, §7.3] and [HK, Definition 4.5.3]; note that $\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2$ is also a regular P_{cl}-crystal. The next lemma follows immediately from the tensor product rule for crystals.

Lemma 2.3.2. *Let $\mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_2$ be regular P_{cl}-crystals, and $b_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1$, $b_2 \in \mathcal{B}_2$. Let $j \in I$.*
(1) We have $\varepsilon_j(b_1 \otimes b_2) \ge \varepsilon_j(b_1)$. Therefore, if $e_j(b_1 \otimes b_2) = \mathbf{0}$, then $e_jb_1 = \mathbf{0}$.
(2) Set $L := \varepsilon_j(b_1 \otimes b_2)$. Then, for $0 \le l \le L$, we have

$$e_j^l(b_1 \otimes b_2) = \begin{cases} b_1 \otimes e_j^l b_2 & \text{if } 0 \le l \le L - \varepsilon_j(b_1), \\ e_j^{l-L+\varepsilon_j(b_1)}b_1 \otimes e_j^{L-\varepsilon_j(b_1)}b_2 & \text{if } L - \varepsilon_j(b_1) \le l \le L. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $e_j^{\max}(b_1 \otimes b_2) = e_j^{\max}b_1 \otimes b_2'$ for some $b_2' \in \mathcal{B}_2$.

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a regular P_{cl}-crystal. We define

$$||b|| := \sqrt{(\mathrm{wt}(b), \mathrm{wt}(b))_{\mathrm{cl}}} \qquad \text{for } b \in \mathcal{B}. \tag{2.3.1}$$

Lemma 2.3.3. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a regular P_{cl}-crystal. For each $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and $j \in I$, we have $||e_j^{\max} b|| \geq ||b||$, with equality if and only if either $e_j b = \mathbf{0}$ or $f_j b = \mathbf{0}$ holds.*

Proof. Using the equation $\varphi_j(b) = (\mathrm{wt}(b))(h_j) + \varepsilon_j(b)$, we easily see that

$$||e_j^{\max} b||^2 = ||b||^2 + \varepsilon_j(b)\varphi_j(b)(\alpha_j, \alpha_j).$$

The inequality $||e_j^{\max} b|| \geq ||b||$ follows immediately from the fact that $\varepsilon_j(b) \geq 0$, $\varphi_j(b) \geq 0$, and $(\alpha_j, \alpha_j) > 0$. Also, the equality holds if and only if $\varepsilon_j(b) = 0$ or $\varphi_j(b) = 0$, which is equivalent to saying that $e_j b = \mathbf{0}$ or $f_j b = \mathbf{0}$. This proves the lemma. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a regular P_{cl}-crystal. For each $j \in I$, we define $S_j : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ by:

$$S_j b = \begin{cases} f_j^l b & \text{if } \ l := (\mathrm{wt}\, b)(h_j) \geq 0, \\ e_j^{-l} b & \text{if } \ l := (\mathrm{wt}\, b)(h_j) < 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.3.2}$$

We know from [Kas1, §7] that there exists a unique action $S : W \to \mathrm{Bij}(\mathcal{B})$, $w \mapsto S_w$, of the Weyl group W on the set $\mathcal{B}$ such that $S_{r_j} = S_j$ for all $j \in I$, where $\mathrm{Bij}(\mathcal{B})$ denotes the group of all bijections from the set $\mathcal{B}$ to itself; in fact, if $w = r_{j_1} r_{j_2} \cdots r_{j_p} \in W$ for $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_p \in I$, then $S_w = S_{j_1} S_{j_2} \cdots S_{j_p}$. Note that $\mathrm{wt}(S_w b) = w(\mathrm{wt}(b))$ for all $w \in W$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$.

Definition 2.3.4 ([AK, §1.4]). Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a regular P_{cl}-crystal. An element $b \in \mathcal{B}$ is said to be extremal if for every $w \in W$, either $e_j S_w b = \mathbf{0}$ or $f_j S_w b = \mathbf{0}$ holds for each $j \in I$.

Remark 2.3.5. It follows immediately from the definition above that if $b \in \mathcal{B}$ is an extremal element, then $S_w b$ is an extremal element of weight $w(\mathrm{wt}(b))$ for each $w \in W$.

Lemma 2.3.6. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a regular P_{cl}-crystal with finitely many elements. If $b \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfies the condition that $||b|| = \max\bigl\{||b'|| \mid b' \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}\bigr\}$, then b is an extremal element.*

Proof. Let $w \in W$, and $j \in I$. Since $(\cdot\,,\,\cdot)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is W-invariant, it follows that $||S_w b|| = ||b||$. Using this, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} ||b|| &\geq ||e_j^{\max} S_w b|| \quad \text{by the maximality of } ||b|| \\ &\geq ||S_w b|| \quad \text{by Lemma 2.3.3} \\ &= ||b||, \end{aligned}$$

and hence that $||e_j^{\max} S_w b|| = ||S_w b||$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.3.3, either $e_j S_w b = \mathbf{0}$ or $f_j S_w b = \mathbf{0}$ holds. This proves the lemma. $\square$

Definition 2.3.7. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a regular P_{cl}-crystal with finitely many elements. The P_{cl}-crystal $\mathcal{B}$ is said to be simple if it satisfies the following conditions:
(1) The weights of elements of $\mathcal{B}$ are all of level zero.
(2) The set of all extremal elements of $\mathcal{B}$ coincides with a W-orbit in $\mathcal{B}$. In addition, for each extremal element $b \in \mathcal{B}$, the subset $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{wt}(b)} \subset \mathcal{B}$ of all elements of weight $\mathrm{wt}(b)$ consists of a single element, i.e., $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{wt}(b)} = \{b\}$.

Remark 2.3.8. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a simple P_{cl}-crystal. Then it follows from Remark 2.1.5 and Definition 2.3.7 (2) that there exists a unique extremal element b of $\mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathrm{wt}(b) \in P_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is level-zero dominant.

Lemma 2.3.9. (1) *A simple* P_{cl}*-crystal is connected.*
(2) *A tensor product of simple* P_{cl}*-crystals is also a simple* P_{cl}*-crystal.*
(3) *Let* $\mathcal{B}_1$, $\mathcal{B}_2$ *be simple* P_{cl}*-crystals. Then there exists at most one isomorphism of* P_{cl}*-crystals from* $\mathcal{B}_1$ *to* $\mathcal{B}_2$*. In particular, any automorphism of a simple* P_{cl}*-crystal is necessarily the identity map.*

Proof. Parts (1) and (2) are simply [AK, Lemmas 1.9 and 1.10], respectively. Let us show part (3). Let $\mathcal{B}_1$, $\mathcal{B}_2$ be simple P_{cl}-crystals, and let $\Phi : \mathcal{B}_1 \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathcal{B}_2$ be an isomorphism of P_{cl}-crystals from $\mathcal{B}_1$ to $\mathcal{B}_2$. We see from Remark 2.3.8 that there exists a unique element $b_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1$ (resp., $b_2 \in \mathcal{B}_2$) such that b_1 (resp., b_2) is extremal, and $\mathrm{wt}(b_1)$ (resp., $\mathrm{wt}(b_2)$) is level-zero dominant. Since $\Phi : \mathcal{B}_1 \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathcal{B}_2$ is an isomorphism of P_{cl}-crystals, it follows that $\Phi(b_1)$ is extremal, and $\mathrm{wt}(\Phi(b_1))$ is level-zero dominant. Hence, from the uniqueness of such an element, we obtain $\Phi(b_1) = b_2$. But, because a simple P_{cl}-crystal is connected by part (1), an isomorphism of P_{cl}-crystals from $\mathcal{B}_1$ to $\mathcal{B}_2$ is determined uniquely by the requirement that $\Phi(b_1) = b_2$. Thus the proof of the lemma is complete. □

2.4 Tensor product decomposition and combinatorial R-matrices. We know from [NS2, Propositions 3.4.1 and 3.4.2] that for each $i \in I_0$, the P_{cl}-crystal $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is a simple P_{cl}-crystal isomorphic to the crystal basis $\mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)$ of the level-zero fundamental representation $W(\varpi_i)$, which is a finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-module introduced in [Kas3, §5.2]. Because the $W(\varpi_i)$, $i \in I_0$, are "good" $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-modules in the sense of [Kas3, §8], we deduce from [Kas3, Proposition 10.6] that for each $i_1, i_2 \in I_0$, there exists a unique isomorphism (called a combinatorial R-matrix) $R_{\varpi_{i_1},\varpi_{i_2}} : \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_1})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_2})_{\mathrm{cl}} \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_2})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_1})_{\mathrm{cl}}$ of P_{cl}-crystals (see also [O, §2.3]); the uniqueness follows from Lemma 2.3.9 (2),(3). Combining this fact and the tensor product decomposition theorem [NS3, Theorem 3.2], we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4.1. *Let* $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n)$ *be an arbitrary sequence of elements of* I_0 *(with repetitions allowed), and set* $\lambda = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varpi_{i_k} \in P_+^0$*. Then there exists a unique isomorphism* *of P_{cl}-crystals*

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}} := \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_1})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_2})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_n})_{\mathrm{cl}}. \tag{2.4.1}$$

Remark 2.4.2. Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$ and $\lambda = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varpi_{i_k} \in P_+^0$ be as in Theorem 2.4.1. It follows from Theorem 2.4.1 and Lemma 2.3.9 (1), (2) that $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is a simple P_{cl}-crystal isomorphic to the crystal basis of the tensor product $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$-module $W_{\mathbf{i}} := W(\varpi_{i_1}) \otimes W(\varpi_{i_2}) \otimes \cdots \otimes W(\varpi_{i_n})$ of the level-zero fundamental representations $W(\varpi_{i_k})$, $1 \le k \le n$.

Remark 2.4.3. Let $\lambda \in P_+^0$. We know from [NS3, Lemma 3.19 (1)] that the straight line $\eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)}$ is an extremal element of $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$, and that $S_w \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)} = \eta_{w\,\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)}$ for each $w \in W$. Therefore, from Remark 2.4.2, we deduce (recalling the definition of simple P_{cl}-crystals) that each extremal element of $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is a straight line η_μ for some $\mu \in \mathrm{cl}(W\lambda) = \mathring{W}\,\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)$, and that the number of elements of weight μ in $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is equal to 1 for all $\mu \in \mathrm{cl}(W\lambda) = \mathring{W}\,\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)$. In particular, the straight line $\eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)}$ is the unique extremal element of $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ whose weight is level-zero dominant, which we mentioned in Remark 2.3.8.

Remark 2.4.4. Let $\lambda \in P_+^0$. We see from [NS4, Lemma 2.6.4] that the weights of $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ are all contained in the set $\mathrm{cl}(\lambda) - a_0^{-1}\,\mathrm{cl}(\mathring{Q}_+)$.

Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$ and $\lambda \in P_+^0$ be as in Theorem 2.4.1. It is easily seen from Remark 2.4.3 and [AK, Lemma 1.6 (1)] that $\eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_{i_1})} \otimes \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_{i_2})} \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_{i_n})}$ is the unique extremal element of the simple P_{cl}-crystal $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_1})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_2})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_n})_{\mathrm{cl}}$ whose weight is level-zero dominant. Therefore, we deduce from Remark 2.4.3 and the proof of Lemma 2.3.9 (3) that

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{i}}(\eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)}) = \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_{i_1})} \otimes \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_{i_2})} \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_{i_n})}. \tag{2.4.2}$$

Corollary 2.4.5. *Let λ, $\lambda' \in P_+^0$ be level-zero dominant integral weights.*
(1) *There exists a unique isomorphism $\Psi_{\lambda,\lambda'} : \mathbb{B}(\lambda+\lambda')_{\mathrm{cl}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\lambda')_{\mathrm{cl}}$ of P_{cl}-crystals.*
(2) *There exists a unique isomorphism $R_{\lambda,\lambda'} : \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\lambda')_{\mathrm{cl}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}(\lambda')_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ of P_{cl}-crystals.*

Proof. Part (1) follows from Theorem 2.4.1. Part (2) follows immediately from part (1). □

Let λ, $\lambda' \in P_+^0$ be level-zero dominant integral weights. By the same reasoning as that yielding (2.4.2), we obtain

$$\Psi_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda+\lambda')}) = \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)} \otimes \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda')}, \tag{2.4.3}$$

$$R_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)} \otimes \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda')}) = \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda')} \otimes \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)}. \tag{2.4.4}$$

2.5 Local energy functions. Let λ, $\lambda' \in P_+^0$ be level-zero dominant integral weights, and let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$, $\mathbf{i}' = (i'_1, i'_2, \dots, i'_{n'})$ be sequences of elements of I_0 such that $\lambda = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varpi_{i_k}$ and $\lambda' = \sum_{k'=1}^{n'} \varpi_{i'_{k'}}$, respectively. We define the tensor product $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules $W_{\mathbf{i}}$ and $W_{\mathbf{i}'}$ corresponding to $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{i}'$, respectively, as in Remark 2.4.2; note that both $W_{\mathbf{i}}$ and $W_{\mathbf{i}'}$ are "good" $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules (in the sense of [Kas3, §8]) by [Kas3, Proposition 8.7], and that $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ and $\mathbb{B}(\lambda')_{\mathrm{cl}}$ are isomorphic as a P_{cl}-crystal to the crystal bases of $W_{\mathbf{i}}$ and $W_{\mathbf{i}'}$, respectively. Therefore, by an argument similar to that in [Kas3, §11], we obtain the following theorem (see also [O, §2.3]).

Theorem 2.5.1. *Let λ, $\lambda' \in P_+^0$ be level-zero dominant integral weights. Then, there exists a unique $\mathbb{Z}$-valued function (called a local energy function) $H_{\lambda,\lambda'} : \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\lambda')_{\mathrm{cl}} \to \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying the conditions:*
(H1) *For each $\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\lambda')_{\mathrm{cl}}$ and $j \in I$ such that $e_j(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) \neq \mathbf{0}$, the following equation holds.*

$$H_{\lambda,\lambda'}(e_j(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)) =$$

$$\begin{cases} H_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) + 1 \\ \quad \text{if } j = 0, \text{ and if } e_0(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) = e_0\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2,\ e_0(\widetilde{\eta}_2 \otimes \widetilde{\eta}_1) = e_0\widetilde{\eta}_2 \otimes \widetilde{\eta}_1, \\ H_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) - 1 \\ \quad \text{if } j = 0, \text{ and if } e_0(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) = \eta_1 \otimes e_0\eta_2,\ e_0(\widetilde{\eta}_2 \otimes \widetilde{\eta}_1) = \widetilde{\eta}_2 \otimes e_0\widetilde{\eta}_1, \\ H_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) \qquad \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{2.5.1}$$

where we set $\widetilde{\eta}_2 \otimes \widetilde{\eta}_1 := R_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda')_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$.
(H2) *$H_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)} \otimes \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda')}) = 0$.*

3 Degree functions on LS path crystals.

Throughout this section, we fix a level-zero dominant integral weight $\lambda \in P$ contained in the set $P_+^0 = \sum_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \varpi_i$.

3.1 Definition of degree functions. Let $\lambda \in P_+^0$. Recall from Lemma 2.1.2 that every element ν of $W\lambda$ can be written uniquely in the form $\nu = \lambda - \beta + k d_\lambda \delta$ with $\beta \in \overset{\circ}{Q}_+$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 3.1.1. *Let $\pi \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)$. If the initial direction $\iota(\pi) \in W\lambda$ of π is contained in $\lambda - \overset{\circ}{Q}_+$, then $\pi(1) \in P$ can be written uniquely in the form $\pi(1) = \lambda - a_0^{-1}\beta + a_0^{-1}K\delta$ with $\beta \in \overset{\circ}{Q}_+$ and $K \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.*

Proof. We know from [NS4, Lemma 2.6.4], along with the linear independence of α_j, $j \in I$, and δ, that $\pi(1) \in P$ can be written uniquely in the form $\pi(1) = \lambda - a_0^{-1}\beta + a_0^{-1}K\delta$

with $\beta \in \overset{\circ}{Q}_{+}$ and $K \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let us show that the coefficient $a_0^{-1}K$ of δ in this expression of $\pi(1) \in P$ is nonnegative. Let $\pi = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_s\,; \underline{\sigma})$ be an expression of $\pi \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)$, and write each $\nu_u \in W\lambda$ for $1 \le u \le s$ as $\nu_u = \lambda - \beta_u + k_u d_\lambda \delta$, where $\beta_u \in \overset{\circ}{Q}_{+}$ and $k_u \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see Lemma 2.1.2); note that $k_1 = 0$ by the assumption of the lemma. It follows from the definition of LS paths that $\nu_1 \ge \nu_2 \ge \cdots \ge \nu_s$, and hence from Remark 2.1.3 that $0 = k_1 \le k_2 \le \cdots \le k_s$. Observe by (2.2.1) that the coefficient $a_0^{-1}K$ of δ in the expression above of $\pi(1) \in P$ is equal to $\sum_{u=1}^{s}(\sigma_u - \sigma_{u-1})k_u d_\lambda$. Therefore, we conclude that $a_0^{-1}K$, and hence K is nonnegative. This proves the lemma. $\Box$

Let us denote by $\mathbb{B}_0(\lambda) \subset \mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ the connected component of the P-crystal $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ containing the straight line π_λ. We know the following lemma from [NS4, Lemma 4.2.3].

Lemma 3.1.2. *Let $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$. Then, the set $\mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\eta) \cap \mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)$ is nonempty, where we set $\mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\eta) := \{\pi \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda) \mid \mathrm{cl}(\pi) = \eta\}$. Furthermore, if we take an arbitrary $\pi \in \mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\eta) \cap \mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)$, then $\mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\eta) \cap \mathbb{B}_0(\lambda) = \{\pi + \pi_{kd_\lambda\delta} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.*

Proposition 3.1.3. *Let $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$. Then, the set $\mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\eta) \cap \mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)$ contains a unique element π_η such that $\iota(\pi_\eta) \in \lambda - \overset{\circ}{Q}_{+}$.*

Proof. Let us take $\pi \in \mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\eta) \cap \mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)$, and write its initial direction $\iota(\pi) \in W\lambda$ as $\iota(\pi) = \lambda - \beta + kd_\lambda\delta$ for $\beta \in \overset{\circ}{Q}_{+}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we see from Lemma 3.1.2 that $\pi_\eta := \pi - \pi_{kd_\lambda\delta}$ is also contained in $\mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\eta) \cap \mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)$. In addition, it is easy to show (see Remark 2.2.3) that $\iota(\pi_\eta)$ is equal to $\iota(\pi) - kd_\lambda\delta = \lambda - \beta$, and hence that $\iota(\pi_\eta) \in \lambda - \overset{\circ}{Q}_{+}$. This proves the existence of π_η. The uniqueness of π_η follows immediately from Lemma 3.1.2. This completes the proof of the proposition. $\Box$

Let $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$, and take $\pi_\eta \in \mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\eta) \cap \mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)$ of Proposition 3.1.3. Then, by Lemma 3.1.1, we can write $\pi_\eta(1) \in P$ in the form $\pi_\eta(1) = \lambda - a_0^{-1}\beta + a_0^{-1}K\delta$ with $\beta \in \overset{\circ}{Q}_{+}$ and $K \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$. Now, we define the degree $\mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\le 0}$ of the $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ by:

$$\mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta) = -K. \tag{3.1.1}$$

Proposition 3.1.4. *Let $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$. Take $\pi \in \mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\eta) \cap \mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ such that $\iota(\pi) \in \lambda - \overset{\circ}{Q}_{+}$ and $\pi \ne \pi_\eta$.*

(1) *If we write $\pi(1) \in P$ in the form $\pi(1) = \lambda - a_0^{-1}\beta' + a_0^{-1}K'\delta$ with $\beta' \in \overset{\circ}{Q}_{+}$ and $K' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$, then $-K' < \mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta)$.*

(2) *If we write the final directions $\kappa(\pi_\eta)$ and $\kappa(\pi)$ of π_η and π in the form $\kappa(\pi_\eta) = \lambda - \beta + kd_\lambda\delta$ and $\kappa(\pi) = \lambda - \beta' + k'd_\lambda\delta$ with $\beta,\, \beta' \in \overset{\circ}{Q}_{+}$ and $k,\, k' \in \mathbb{Z}$, respectively, then $k < k'$.*

Remark 3.1.5. Part (1) of Proposition 3.1.4 characterizes the degree $\mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\le 0}$ of $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ as the maximum of the nonpositive integer $-K$ for which $\pi(1) \in P$ is of the form $\pi(1) = \lambda - a_0^{-1}\beta + a_0^{-1}K\delta$ with $\beta \in \overset{\circ}{Q}_+$ and $K \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$, where $\pi \in \mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\eta) \cap \mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ is such that $\iota(\pi) \in \lambda - \overset{\circ}{Q}_+$. Furthermore, the maximum $\mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta)$ is attained only by $\pi_\eta \in \mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\eta) \cap \mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)$ of Proposition 3.1.3.

To prove this proposition, we need the following lemma, which can be proved by an argument in the proof of [NS4, Theorem 3.1.1].

Lemma 3.1.6. *Each connected component of* $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ *contains a unique element whose reduced expression is of the form*:

$$(\lambda,\, \lambda + k_2 d_\lambda \delta,\, \dots,\, \lambda + k_s d_\lambda \delta\ ;\ \sigma_0,\, \sigma_1,\, \dots,\, \sigma_s), \tag{3.1.2}$$

with $k_2, \dots, k_s \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $0 = \sigma_0 < \sigma_1 < \cdots < \sigma_s = 1$.

Remark 3.1.7. It follows from the definition of LS paths that $\lambda > \lambda + k_2 d_\lambda \delta > \cdots > \lambda + k_s d_\lambda \delta$. Hence we see from Remark 2.1.3 that $0 < k_2 < \cdots < k_s$.

Proof of Proposition 3.1.4. Assume that $\pi \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ lies in a connected component of $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ containing an LS path π' whose reduced expression is of the form (3.1.2). We see from Proposition 3.1.3 and the assumption of the lemma that π does not lie in $\mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)$, and hence that $s \ge 2$.

We set $\psi := (0,\, k_2 d_\lambda \delta,\, \dots,\, k_s d_\lambda \delta\ ;\ \sigma_0,\, \sigma_1,\, \dots,\, \sigma_s)$; note that $\pi' = \pi_\lambda + \psi$. Let X be a monomial of X in the root operators e_j, f_j for $j \in I$ such that $\pi = X\pi'$. Then we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} X\pi_\lambda &= X(\pi' - \psi) = X\pi' - \psi \quad \text{by [NS4, Lemma 2.7.1]} \\ &= \pi - \psi. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathrm{cl}(\pi) = \eta$, it follows that $\mathrm{cl}(X\pi_\lambda) = \mathrm{cl}(\pi - \psi) = \mathrm{cl}(\pi) = \eta$. Hence we have $X\pi_\lambda \in \mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\eta) \cap \mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)$. Also, because $\iota(\pi) \in \lambda - \overset{\circ}{Q}_+$ and $\iota(\psi) = 0$, we see that $\iota(X\pi_\lambda) = \iota(\pi - \psi) = \iota(\pi) - \iota(\psi) \in \lambda - \overset{\circ}{Q}_+$. It follows from Proposition 3.1.3 that $X\pi_\lambda = \pi_\eta$. Thus we obtain $\pi_\eta = \pi - \psi$, and hence

$$\mathrm{Deg}(\eta) = -K' + a_0 \times (\text{the coefficient of } \delta \text{ in } \psi(1)), \quad \text{and} \quad k = k' - k_s.$$

Since $s \ge 2$ as seen above, we deduce from Remark 3.1.7 (using (2.2.1)) that the coefficient of δ in $\psi(1)$ is greater than 0. Therefore, we conclude that $-K' < \mathrm{Deg}(\eta)$. Also, since $k_s > 0$ with $s \ge 2$, it follows that $k < k'$. This completes the proof of the proposition. □

3.2 Behavior of degree functions under the root operators. As in 3.1, let $\lambda \in P_+^0$.

Lemma 3.2.1. (1) *We have* $\mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)}) = 0$.
(2) *Let* $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$, *and* $j \in I$. *If* $e_j\eta \neq \mathbf{0}$, *then* (*see Remark 2.2.9*)

$$\mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(e_j\eta) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta) - 1 & \text{if } j = 0 \text{ and } \iota(e_0\eta) = \iota(\eta), \\ \mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta) - (\iota(\eta))(h_0) - 1 & \text{if } j = 0 \text{ and } \iota(e_0\eta) = r_0(\iota(\eta)), \\ \mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta) & \text{if } j \neq 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.2.1}$$

Proof. Part (1) is obvious from the definition of Deg_λ, since $\pi_{\eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)}} = \pi_\lambda$. Let us prove part (2). It is obvious that $e_j\pi_\eta \in \mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)$ since $\pi_\eta \in \mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)$ by definition. Also, we know from (2.2.10) that $\mathrm{cl}(e_j\pi_\eta) = e_j\,\mathrm{cl}(\pi_\eta) = e_j\eta$. Let us write $\pi_\eta(1) \in P$ in the form $\pi_\eta(1) = \lambda - a_0^{-1}\beta - a_0^{-1}\,\mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta)\delta$ with $\beta \in \overset{\circ}{Q}_+$.

First, assume that $j \neq 0$. We deduce from Remark 2.2.9 along with Lemma 2.1.2 that $\iota(e_j\pi_\eta) \in \lambda - \overset{\circ}{Q}_+$. Because $e_j\pi_\eta \in \mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)$ and $\mathrm{cl}(e_j\pi_\eta) = e_j\eta$, it follows from Proposition 3.1.3 that $\pi_{e_j\eta} = e_j\pi_\eta$. Since $j \neq 0$, we have

$$\pi_{e_j\eta}(1) = (e_j\pi_\eta)(1) = \pi_\eta(1) + \alpha_j = \lambda - \underbrace{(a_0^{-1}\beta - \alpha_j)}_{\in a_0^{-1}\overset{\circ}{Q}_+} - a_0^{-1}\,\mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta)\delta,$$

and hence $\mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(e_j\eta) = \mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta)$.

Next, assume that $j = 0$ and $\iota(e_0\eta) = \iota(\eta)$. Then we deduce (using (2.2.9)) from the definitions of the root operator e_0 for $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ and the one for $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ that $\iota(e_0\pi_\eta) = \iota(\pi_\eta)$, and hence $\iota(e_0\pi_\eta) \in \lambda - \overset{\circ}{Q}_+$. Because $e_0\pi_\eta \in \mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)$ and $\mathrm{cl}(e_0\pi_\eta) = e_0\eta$, it follows from Proposition 3.1.3 that $\pi_{e_0\eta} = e_0\pi_\eta$. Now, define $\theta \in \overset{\circ}{Q}_+$ by: $\theta = \delta - a_0\alpha_0$. Since $\alpha_0 = a_0^{-1}(\delta - \theta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{e_0\eta}(1) = (e_0\pi_\eta)(1) = \pi_\eta(1) + \alpha_0 &= \lambda - a_0^{-1}\beta - a_0^{-1}\,\mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta)\delta + a_0^{-1}(\delta - \theta) \\ &= \lambda - a_0^{-1}(\beta + \theta) - a_0^{-1}(\mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta) - 1)\delta, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(e_0\eta) = \mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta) - 1$.

Finally, assume that $j = 0$ and $\iota(e_0\eta) = r_0(\iota(\eta))$. Then we deduce (using (2.2.9)) from the definitions of the root operator e_0 for $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ and the one for $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \iota(e_0\pi_\eta) = r_0\iota(\pi_\eta) &= \iota(\pi_\eta) - (\iota(\pi_\eta))(h_0)\alpha_0 \\ &= \iota(\pi_\eta) + a_0^{-1}(\iota(\pi_\eta))(h_0)\theta - a_0^{-1}(\iota(\pi_\eta))(h_0)\delta, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.2}$$

where $\theta = \delta - a_0\alpha_0$ as above. Note that $\iota(\pi_\eta) + a_0^{-1}(\iota(\pi_\eta))(h_0)\theta \in \lambda - \sum_{j\in I_0}\mathbb{Q}\alpha_j$, since $\iota(\pi_\eta) \in \lambda - \overset{\circ}{Q}_+$. Because $\iota(e_0\pi_\eta) \in W\lambda$, it follows from Lemma 2.1.2 and the linear independence of α_j, $j \in I$, and δ that $\iota(\pi_\eta) + a_0^{-1}(\iota(\pi_\eta))(h_0)\theta \in \lambda - \overset{\circ}{Q}_+$ and $a_0^{-1}(\iota(\pi_\eta))(h_0) \in \mathbb{Z}d_\lambda$. Hence we have $a_0^{-1}(\iota(\pi_\eta))(h_0) = kd_\lambda$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Because $e_0\pi_\eta \in \mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)$ and $\mathrm{cl}(e_0\pi_\eta) = e_0\eta \in \mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)$, we deduce from Lemma 3.1.2 that $e_0\pi_\eta + \pi_{kd_\lambda\delta} \in \mathrm{cl}^{-1}(e_0\eta) \cap \mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)$. In addition,

$$\begin{aligned}\iota(e_0\pi_\eta + \pi_{kd_\lambda\delta}) &= \iota(e_0\pi_\eta) + \iota(\pi_{kd_\lambda\delta}) \quad \text{by Remark 2.2.3}\\ &= \iota(\pi_\eta) + a_0^{-1}(\iota(\pi_\eta))(h_0)\theta - kd_\lambda\delta + kd_\lambda\delta \quad \text{by (3.2.2)}\\ &= \iota(\pi_\eta) + a_0^{-1}(\iota(\pi_\eta))(h_0)\theta,\end{aligned}$$

which lies in $\lambda - \overset{\circ}{Q}_+$ as seen above. Therefore, by Proposition 3.1.3, we have $\pi_{e_0\eta} = e_0\pi_\eta + \pi_{kd_\lambda\delta}$. From this, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{e_0\eta}(1) = (e_0\pi_\eta)(1) + \pi_{kd_\lambda\delta}(1) &= \pi_\eta(1) + \alpha_0 + kd_\lambda\delta\\ &= \lambda - a_0^{-1}\beta - a_0^{-1}\operatorname{Deg}_\lambda(\eta)\delta + a_0^{-1}(\delta - \theta) + kd_\lambda\delta\\ &= \lambda - a_0^{-1}(\beta + \theta) - a_0^{-1}(\operatorname{Deg}_\lambda(\eta) - a_0kd_\lambda - 1)\delta,\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\operatorname{Deg}_\lambda(e_0\eta) = \operatorname{Deg}_\lambda(\eta) - a_0kd_\lambda - 1 = \operatorname{Deg}_\lambda(\eta) - (\iota(\pi_\eta))(h_0) - 1.$$

Note that $(\iota(\pi_\eta))(h_0) = (\iota(\eta))(h_0)$ since $\mathrm{cl}(\iota(\pi_\eta)) = \iota(\mathrm{cl}(\pi_\eta)) = \iota(\eta)$ by Remark 2.2.7. Thus we conclude that $\operatorname{Deg}_\lambda(e_0\eta) = \operatorname{Deg}_\lambda(\eta) - (\iota(\eta))(h_0) - 1$, as desired. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 3.2.2. *Let $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$, and $j \in I$. Assume that $e_j\eta \neq \mathbf{0}$, and that $(\iota(\eta))(h_j) \leq 0$. Then,*

$$\operatorname{Deg}_\lambda(e_j^{\max}\eta) = \begin{cases}\operatorname{Deg}_\lambda(\eta) - \varepsilon_0(\eta) - (\iota(\eta))(h_0) & \text{if } j = 0,\\ \operatorname{Deg}_\lambda(\eta) & \text{if } j \neq 0.\end{cases} \tag{3.2.3}$$

Proof. If $j \neq 0$, then it follows immediately from Lemma 3.2.1 (2) that $\operatorname{Deg}_\lambda(e_j^{\max}\eta) = \operatorname{Deg}_\lambda(\eta)$. Now assume that $j = 0$. If $\varepsilon_0(\eta) = 0$, i.e., $e_0\eta = \mathbf{0}$, then we see from Lemma 2.2.10 (1) that $(\iota(\eta))(h_0) \geq 0$, which, when combined with the assumption of the lemma, implies that $(\iota(\eta))(h_0) = 0$. Hence we have

$$\operatorname{Deg}_\lambda(e_0^{\max}\eta) = \operatorname{Deg}_\lambda(e_0^0\eta) = \operatorname{Deg}_\lambda(\eta) = \operatorname{Deg}_\lambda(\eta) - \underbrace{\varepsilon_0(\eta)}_{=0} - \underbrace{(\iota(\eta))(h_0)}_{=0}.$$

It remains to consider the case $\varepsilon_0(\eta) \geq 1$. From Lemma 2.2.10 (2) and Lemma 3.2.1 (2), it follows that

$$\operatorname{Deg}_\lambda(e_0^{\varepsilon_0(\eta)-1}\eta) = \operatorname{Deg}_\lambda(\eta) - \varepsilon_0(\eta) + 1. \tag{3.2.4}$$

Therefore, by using Lemma 2.2.10 (2), (3), we deduce from Lemma 3.2.1 (2) that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(e_0^{\max}\eta) &= \mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(e_0^{\varepsilon_0(\eta)}\eta) = \mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(e_0 e_0^{\varepsilon_0(\eta)-1}\eta) \\ &= \mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(e_0^{\varepsilon_0(\eta)-1}\eta) - (\iota(\eta))(h_0) - 1 \\ &= \mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta) - \varepsilon_0(\eta) + 1 - (\iota(\eta))(h_0) - 1 \qquad \text{by (3.2.4)} \\ &= \mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta) - \varepsilon_0(\eta) - (\iota(\eta))(h_0).\end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. □

4 Relation between energy functions and degree functions.

4.1 Main results. Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$ be an arbitrary sequence of elements of I_0, and define the tensor product P_{cl}-crystal $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_1})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_2})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_n})_{\mathrm{cl}}$. For an element $\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_n \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$, we define $\eta_l^{(k)} \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_l})_{\mathrm{cl}}$, $1 \le k < l \le n$, as follows (see [HKOTY, §3] and [HKOTT, §3.3]). There exists a unique isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_k})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_{k+1}})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_{l-1}})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_l})_{\mathrm{cl}} \\ &\qquad \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_l})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_k})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_{l-2}})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_{l-1}})_{\mathrm{cl}}\end{aligned}$$

of P_{cl}-crystals, which is given as the composition $R_{\varpi_{i_k},\varpi_{i_l}} \circ R_{\varpi_{i_{k+1}},\varpi_{i_l}} \circ \cdots \circ R_{\varpi_{i_{l-1}},\varpi_{i_l}}$ of combinatorial R-matrices (see §2.4); for uniqueness, see Lemma 2.3.9 (3). We define $\eta_l^{(k)}$ to be the first factor (which lies in $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_l})_{\mathrm{cl}}$) of the image of $\eta_k \otimes \eta_{k+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_l \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_k})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_{k+1}})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_l})_{\mathrm{cl}}$ under the above isomorphism of P_{cl}-crystals. For convenience, we set $\eta_l^{(l)} := \eta_l$ for $1 \le l \le n$.

For each $1 \le k \le n$, take (and fix) an arbitrary element $\eta_k^\flat \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_k})_{\mathrm{cl}}$ such that $f_j \eta_k^\flat = \mathbf{0}$ for all $j \in I_0$. Note that such an element $\eta_k^\flat \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_k})_{\mathrm{cl}}$ actually exists. Indeed, for each $i \in I_0$, we know from Remark 2.2.6 that $\eta_{\widetilde{\varpi}_i} \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$, where $\widetilde{\varpi}_i := w_0 \,\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i) \in P_{\mathrm{cl}}$ (see also Remark 2.1.5). It follows immediately from the definition of the root operators f_j, $j \in I_0$, that $f_j \eta_{\widetilde{\varpi}_i} = \mathbf{0}$ for all $j \in I_0$.

Now, following [HKOTY, §3] and [HKOTT, §3.3], we define the energy function $D_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_1})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_2})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_n})_{\mathrm{cl}} \to \mathbb{Z}$ by:

$$\begin{aligned}&D_{\mathbf{i}}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_n) = \\ &\qquad \sum_{1 \le k < l \le n} H_{\varpi_{i_k},\varpi_{i_l}}(\eta_k \otimes \eta_l^{(k+1)}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} H_{\varpi_{i_k},\varpi_{i_k}}(\eta_k^\flat \otimes \eta_k^{(1)}). \qquad (4.1.1)\end{aligned}$$

Also, we define a constant $D_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{ext}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ by:

$$D_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{ext}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} H_{\varpi_{i_k},\varpi_{i_k}}(\eta_k^\flat \otimes \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_{i_k})}). \qquad (4.1.2)$$

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1.1. *Let* $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$ *be an arbitrary sequence of elements of* I_0*, and set* $\lambda := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varpi_{i_k} \in P_+^0$*. Then, for every* $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$*, the following equation holds*:

$$\mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta) = D_{\mathbf{i}}(\Psi_{\mathbf{i}}(\eta)) - D_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{ext}}, \tag{4.1.3}$$

where $\Psi_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \to \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ *is the isomorphism of* P_{cl}*-crystals in Theorem 2.4.1.*

We will establish Theorem 4.1.1 under the following plan. First, in §4.2, we show some technical lemmas needed later. Next, in §4.3, using these lemmas, we prove Proposition 4.3.1, which is the key to our proof (in §4.4) of Theorem 4.1.2 below. Finally, in §4.5, we prove Theorem 4.1.3 below, which, when combined with Theorem 4.1.2, establishes Theorem 4.1.1.

Theorem 4.1.2. *Let* $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$ *be an arbitrary sequence of elements of* I_0*, and set* $\lambda := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varpi_{i_k} \in P_+^0$*. Let* $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$*, and set* $\Psi_{\mathbf{i}}(\eta) := \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_n \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_1})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_2})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_n})_{\mathrm{cl}}$*. Then, the following equation holds*:

$$\mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta) = \sum_{1 \le k < l \le n} H_{\varpi_{i_k}, \varpi_{i_l}}(\eta_k \otimes \eta_l^{(k+1)}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_{i_k}}(\eta_k^{(1)}). \tag{4.1.4}$$

Theorem 4.1.3. *Let* $i \in I_0$*, and let* $\eta^\flat \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ *be an element of* $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ *such that* $f_j \eta^\flat = \mathbf{0}$ *for all* $j \in I_0$*. Then, for every* $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$*, the the following equation holds*:

$$\mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta) = H_{\varpi_i, \varpi_i}(\eta^\flat \otimes \eta) - H_{\varpi_i, \varpi_i}(\eta^\flat \otimes \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)}). \tag{4.1.5}$$

4.2 Some technical lemmas. Recall from [Kac, Proposition 6.3] that a real root of $\mathfrak{g}$ lies either in $a_0^{-1}\mathring{Q}_+ + a_0^{-1}\mathbb{Z}\delta$ or in $-a_0^{-1}\mathring{Q}_+ + a_0^{-1}\mathbb{Z}\delta$.

Lemma 4.2.1. *Let* $\lambda \in P_+^0$ *be a level-zero dominant integral weight, and let* $w \in W$*,* $j \in I$*.*

(1) *If* $\bigl(w(\mathrm{cl}(\lambda))\bigr)(h_j) < 0$*, then the real root* $w^{-1}(\alpha_j)$ *lies in* $-a_0^{-1}\mathring{Q}_+ + a_0^{-1}\mathbb{Z}\delta$*.*

(2) *If the real root* $w^{-1}(\alpha_j)$ *lies in* $-a_0^{-1}\mathring{Q}_+ + a_0^{-1}\mathbb{Z}\delta$*, then* $\bigl(w(\mathrm{cl}(\lambda))\bigr)(h_j) \le 0$*.*

(3) *Assume that* λ *is strictly level-zero dominant. Then,* $\bigl(w(\mathrm{cl}(\lambda))\bigr)(h_j) < 0$ *if and only if the real root* $w^{-1}(\alpha_j)$ *lies in* $-a_0^{-1}\mathring{Q}_+ + a_0^{-1}\mathbb{Z}\delta$*.*

Proof. Since $(\alpha_j, \alpha_j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ for all $j \in I$, and since

$$\bigl(w(\mathrm{cl}(\lambda))\bigr)(h_j) = \bigl(w(\lambda)\bigr)(h_j) = \frac{2(w(\lambda), \alpha_j)}{(\alpha_j, \alpha_j)} = \frac{2(\lambda, w^{-1}(\alpha_j))}{(\alpha_j, \alpha_j)},$$

it follows immediately that $\bigl(w(\mathrm{cl}(\lambda))\bigr)(h_j) < 0$ if and only if $(\lambda, w^{-1}(\alpha_j)) < 0$, and that $\bigl(w(\mathrm{cl}(\lambda))\bigr)(h_j) = 0$ if and only if $(\lambda, w^{-1}(\alpha_j)) = 0$. In addition, because λ is level-zero dominant and $(\alpha_j, \alpha_j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ for all $j \in I_0$, we have

$$\bigl(\lambda, \pm a_0^{-1}\mathring{Q}_+ + a_0^{-1}\mathbb{Z}\delta\bigr) = \bigl(\lambda, \pm a_0^{-1}\mathring{Q}_+\bigr) \subset \pm\mathbb{Q}_{\ge 0}.$$

All the assertions of the lemma follows immediately from the discussion above. □

Lemma 4.2.2. *Let* $\lambda \in P_+^0$ *be a level-zero dominant integral weight, and let* $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$, $j \in I$. *Assume that* η *has an expression of the form* $\eta = (\mu_1,\, \mu_2\,;\, 0,\, \sigma,\, 1)$, *with* $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathrm{cl}(W\lambda) = \overset{\circ}{W}\,\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)$ *and* $0 < \sigma < 1$. *If* $\mu_1(h_j) < 0$, *then* $e_j^{\max}\eta = (r_j(\mu_1),\, \mu_2'\,;\, 0,\, \sigma,\, 1)$, *where*

$$\mu_2' := \begin{cases} \mu_2 & \text{if } \mu_2(h_j) \geq 0, \\ r_j\mu_2 & \text{if } \mu_2(h_j) < 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.2.1}$$

Proof. First, assume that $\mu_2(h_j) \geq 0$. Then, since $\mu_1(h_j) < 0$ by the assumption of the lemma, it follows that the function $H_j^\eta(t)$ is strictly decreasing on the interval $[0, \sigma]$, and $m_j^\eta = H_j^\eta(\sigma) < 0$; note that $\varepsilon_j(\eta) = -m_j^\eta$ by (2.2.11). For $0 \leq l \leq \varepsilon_j(\eta) = -m_j^\eta$, let $\sigma^{(l)}$ be the unique point in $[0, \sigma]$ such that $H_j^\eta(\sigma^{(l)}) = m_j^\eta + l$; observe that $0 = \sigma^{(\varepsilon_j(\eta))} < \sigma^{(\varepsilon_j(\eta)-1)} < \cdots < \sigma^{(0)} = \sigma$. Now it is easily shown by induction on l that for $0 \leq l \leq \varepsilon_j(\eta)$,

$$(e_j^l\eta)(t) = \begin{cases} \eta(t) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \sigma^{(l)}, \\ \eta(\sigma^{(l)}) + r_j\bigl(\eta(t) - \eta(\sigma^{(l)})\bigr) & \text{if } \sigma^{(l)} \leq t \leq \sigma, \\ \eta(t) + l\alpha_j & \text{if } \sigma \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

In particular, by taking $l = \varepsilon_j(\eta)$, we have

$$(e_j^{\max}\eta)(t) = \begin{cases} r_j\bigl(\eta(t)\bigr) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \sigma, \\ \eta(t) + \varepsilon_j(\eta)\alpha_j & \text{if } \sigma \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

which implies that $e_j^{\max}\eta = (r_j(\mu_1),\, \mu_2\,;\, 0,\, \sigma,\, 1)$, since $\eta = (\mu_1,\, \mu_2\,;\, 0,\, \sigma,\, 1)$.

Next, assume that $\mu_2(h_j) < 0$. Then, since $\mu_1(h_j) < 0$ by the assumption of the lemma, it follows that the function $H_j^\eta(t)$ is strictly decreasing on the interval $[0, 1]$, and $m_j^\eta = H_j^\eta(1) < 0$; note that $\varepsilon_j(\eta) = -m_j^\eta$ by (2.2.11). For $0 \leq l \leq \varepsilon_j(\eta) = -m_j^\eta$, let $\sigma^{(l)}$ be the unique point in $[0, 1]$ such that $H_j^\eta(\sigma^{(l)}) = m_j^\eta + l$; observe that $0 = \sigma^{(\varepsilon_j(\eta))} < \sigma^{(\varepsilon_j(\eta)-1)} < \cdots < \sigma^{(0)} = 1$. Now it is easily shown by induction on l that for $0 \leq l \leq \varepsilon_j(\eta)$,

$$(e_j^l\eta)(t) = \begin{cases} \eta(t) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \sigma^{(l)}, \\ \eta(\sigma^{(l)}) + r_j\bigl(\eta(t) - \eta(\sigma^{(l)})\bigr) & \text{if } \sigma^{(l)} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

In particular, by taking $l = \varepsilon_j(\eta)$, we have

$$(e_j^{\max}\eta)(t) = r_j\bigl(\eta(t)\bigr) \qquad \text{for } t \in [0, 1],$$

which implies that $e_j^{\max}\eta = (r_j(\mu_1),\, r_j(\mu_2)\,;\, 0,\, \sigma,\, 1)$, since $\eta = (\mu_1,\, \mu_2\,;\, 0,\, \sigma,\, 1)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 4.2.3. *Let* $\lambda \in P_+^0$. *Let* $\eta = (\mu_1,\, \mu_2,\, \ldots,\, \mu_s\,;\, \sigma_0,\, \sigma_1,\, \sigma_2,\, \ldots,\, \sigma_s)$ *be an expression of* $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$, *and assume that* $s \geq 2$.

(1) *$\eta' := (\mu_1, \mu_2\,; \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_s)$ is contained in $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$.*
(2) *If $j \in I$ satisfies $\mu_1(h_j) < 0$, then $(e_j^{\max}\eta)(t) = (e_j^{\max}\eta')(t)$ for all $t \in [0, \sigma_2]$. Hence, by Lemma 4.2.2, $e_j^{\max}\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ has an expression of the form:*

$$e_j^{\max}\eta = \bigl(r_j\mu_1,\, \mu_2',\, \mu_3' \ldots,\, \mu_{s'}'\,;\, \sigma_0,\, \sigma_1,\, \sigma_2,\, \sigma_3',\, \ldots,\, \sigma_{s'}'\bigr), \tag{4.2.2}$$

where

$$\mu_2' = \begin{cases} \mu_2 & \text{if } \mu_2(h_j) \geq 0, \\ r_j\mu_2 & \text{if } \mu_2(h_j) < 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.2.3}$$

Proof. (1) Let $\pi \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ be such that $\mathrm{cl}(\pi) = \eta$, and let

$$\pi = (\nu_1,\, \nu_2,\, \ldots,\, \nu_{s''}\,;\, \sigma_0'',\, \sigma_1'',\, \sigma_2'',\, \ldots,\, \sigma_{s''}'')$$

be an expression of π. By "inserting" (see [NS4, Remark 2.5.2 (2)]) σ_1 (resp., σ_2) between σ_k'' and σ_{k+1}'' such that $\sigma_k'' < \sigma_1$ (resp., σ_2) $< \sigma_{k+1}''$ if necessary, we may assume that there exists $1 \leq u_1 < u_2 \leq s''$ such that $\sigma_{u_1}'' = \sigma_1$ and $\sigma_{u_2}'' = \sigma_2$. By Remark 2.2.7 and the condition that $\mathrm{cl}(\pi) = \eta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{cl}(\nu_u) &= \mu_1 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq u \leq u_1, \\ \mathrm{cl}(\nu_u) &= \mu_2 \quad \text{for all } u_1 + 1 \leq u \leq u_2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.4}$$

Set $\pi' := (\nu_1,\, \nu_2,\, \ldots,\, \nu_{u_2}\ ;\ \sigma_0'',\, \sigma_1'',\, \ldots,\, \sigma_{u_2-1}'',\, \sigma_{s''}'')$. Then we can easily deduce from the definition of LS paths (see also [L2, Lemma 4.5 b)]) that $\pi' \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)$. Furthermore, it is clear from Remark 2.2.7 and (4.2.4) that $\mathrm{cl}(\pi') = \eta'$. Thus we have proved that $\eta' \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$.

(2) For $0 \leq l \leq \varepsilon_j(\eta) - 1$, we set $\eta_l := e_j^l\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$, and

$$t_1^{(l)} := \min\bigl\{t \in [0,1] \mid H_j^{\eta_l}(t) = m_j^{\eta_l}\bigr\}, \quad t_0^{(l)} := \max\bigl\{t \in [0, t_1^l] \mid H_j^{\eta_l}(t) = m_j^{\eta_l} + 1\bigr\}.$$

We note that by (2.2.11),

$$m_j^{\eta_l} = -\varepsilon_j(\eta_l) = -\varepsilon_j(e_j^l\eta) = -\varepsilon_j(\eta) + l = m_j^{\eta} + l \quad \text{for } 0 \leq l \leq \varepsilon_j(\eta) - 1. \tag{4.2.5}$$

Now, let us assume that $\mu_2(h_j) \geq 0$. Since $\mu_1(h_j) < 0$ by the assumption of the lemma, it follows that $k_1 := H_j^{\eta}(\sigma_1) < 0$. In addition, since $\mu_2(h_j) \geq 0$, we see that the function $H_j^{\eta}(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$, attains a local minimum at $t = \sigma_1$. Therefore, we obtain $k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ by Remark 2.2.8. Observe that

$$H_j^{\eta}(t) \geq k_1 \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \sigma_2]. \tag{4.2.6}$$

We set $l_1 := k_1 - m_j^{\eta} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$; note that $l_1 < -m_j^{\eta} = \varepsilon_j(\eta)$ since $k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$.

Claim. *For all $0 \leq l \leq l_1$, we have $\eta_l(t) = \eta(t)$ for all $t \in [0, \sigma_2]$.*

Proof of Claim. We show the assertion by induction on l. When $l = 0$, the assertion obviously holds. Assume that $0 < l \le l_1$ and that $\eta_{l-1}(t) = \eta(t)$ for all $t \in [0, \sigma_2]$. Note that $\eta_l = e_j \eta_{l-1}$. Therefore, by the definition of the root operator e_j, it suffices to show that $\sigma_2 \le t_0^{(l-1)}$. We see from (4.2.5) that

$$H_j^{\eta_{l-1}}(t_1^{(l-1)}) = m_j^{\eta_{l-1}} = m_j^{\eta} + l - 1 \le m_j^{\eta} + l_1 - 1 = k_1 - 1. \tag{4.2.7}$$

Also, since $\eta_{l-1}(t) = \eta(t)$ for all $t \in [0, \sigma_2]$ by the inductive assumption, it follows from (4.2.6) that $H_j^{\eta_{l-1}}(t) = H_j^{\eta}(t) \ge k_1$ for all $t \in [0, \sigma_2]$. Hence we deduce from (4.2.7) that $t_1^{(l-1)} \notin [0, \sigma_2]$, i.e., that $\sigma_2 < t_1^{(l-1)}$.

We note that $H_j^{\eta_{l-1}}(\sigma_2) = H_j^{\eta}(\sigma_2) \ge k_1 = m_j^{\eta} + l_1 \ge m_j^{\eta} + l = m_j^{\eta_{l-1}} + 1$ as seen above, and that $H_j^{\eta_{l-1}}(t_1^{(l-1)}) = m_j^{\eta_{l-1}} < m_j^{\eta_{l-1}} + 1$. Therefore, from the continuity of the function $H_j^{\eta_{l-1}}(t)$ on the interval $\sigma_2 \le t \le t_1^{(l-1)}$, we conclude that there exists $\sigma_2 \le t' < t_1^{(l-1)}$ such that $H_j^{\eta_{l-1}}(t') = m_j^{\eta_{l-1}} + 1$. It follows from the definition of $t_0^{(l-1)}$ that $t_0^{(l-1)} \ge t' \ge \sigma_2$. This proves the claim.

From the claim above, by taking $l = l_1$, we obtain $\eta_{l_1}(t) = \eta(t)$ for all $t \in [0, \sigma_2]$. Consequently, we see from the definition of $t_1^{(l_1)}$ that $t_1^{(l_1)} = \sigma_1$, since $m_j^{\eta_{l_1}} = m_j^{\eta} + l_1 = k_1$ and $H_j^{\eta}(\sigma_1) = k_1$. Therefore, as in the proof of Lemma 4.2.2, we can show (using $\eta(t) = \eta_{l_1}(t)$ for $t \in [0, \sigma_2]$) that

$$\begin{aligned}(e_j^{\max}\eta)(t) &= (e_j^{-m_j^{\eta}}\eta)(t) = (e_j^{l_1 - k_1}\eta)(t) \\ &= (e_j^{-k_1}\eta_{l_1})(t) = \begin{cases} r_j\bigl(\eta(t)\bigr) & \text{if } 0 \le t \le \sigma_1, \\ \eta(t) - k_1\alpha_j & \text{if } \sigma_1 \le t \le \sigma_2, \\ \eta_{l_1}(t) - k_1\alpha_j & \text{if } \sigma_2 \le t \le 1. \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

From this and Lemma 4.2.2, we conclude that $(e_j^{\max}\eta)(t) = (e_j^{\max}\eta')(t)$ for $t \in [0, \sigma_2]$.

The proof for the case $\mu_2(h_j) < 0$ is similar; we give only a sketch of the proof. Take the largest $u \in \bigl\{2, 3, \dots, s\bigr\}$ such that $\mu_{u'}(h_j) < 0$ for all $1 \le u' \le u$. Then we see that the function $H_j^{\eta}(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$, attains a local minimum at $t = \sigma_u$, and hence that $k_u := H_j^{\eta}(\sigma_u) \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ by Remark 2.2.8. We set $l_u := k_u - m_j^{\eta} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$. In exactly the same way as above, we can show that $\eta(t) = \eta_{l_u}(t)$ for all $t \in [0, \sigma_u]$. Consequently, we have $t_1^{(l_u)} = \sigma_u$, since $\mu_{u'}(h_j) < 0$ for all $1 \le u' \le u$. Therefore, as in the proof of Lemma 4.2.2, we can show (using $\eta(t) = \eta_{l_u}(t)$ for $t \in [0, \sigma_u]$) that

$$(e_j^{\max}\eta)(t) = (e_j^{-k_u}\eta_{l_u})(t) = \begin{cases} r_j\bigl(\eta(t)\bigr) & \text{if } 0 \le t \le \sigma_u, \\ \eta_{l_u}(t) - k_u\alpha_j & \text{if } \sigma_u \le t \le 1. \end{cases}$$

From this and Lemma 4.2.2, we conclude that $(e_j^{\max}\eta)(t) = (e_j^{\max}\eta')(t)$ for $t \in [0, \sigma_2]$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

4.3 Key proposition to the proof of Theorem 4.1.2.

Proposition 4.3.1. *Let $\lambda \in P_+^0$ be a level-zero dominant integral weight, and let $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ be an element of $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ such that $e_j \eta = \mathbf{0}$ for all $j \in I_0$. Then, there exists a sequence $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_N \in I$ such that*
(A) $e_{j_N}^{\max} e_{j_{N-1}}^{\max} \cdots e_{j_1}^{\max} \eta = \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)}$,
(B) $r_{j_1} r_{j_2} \dots r_{j_p}(\alpha_{j_{p+1}}) \in -a_0^{-1} \overset{\circ}{Q}_+ + a_0^{-1}\mathbb{Z}\delta$ *for* $p = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$.

In order to prove Proposition 4.3.1, we need Lemmas 4.3.2 and 4.3.3 below.

Lemma 4.3.2. *Let $\lambda \in \sum_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \varpi_i$ be a strictly level-zero dominant integral weight. Let η be an element of $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ such that $\iota(\eta) = \mathrm{cl}(\lambda)$. Then, there exists a sequence $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_N \in I$ such that*
(A) $e_{j_N}^{\max} e_{j_{N-1}}^{\max} \cdots e_{j_1}^{\max} \eta = \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)}$,
(B)' $\bigl(r_{j_p} r_{j_{p-1}} \cdots r_{j_1}(\mathrm{cl}(\lambda))\bigr)(h_{j_{p+1}}) < 0$ *for* $p = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$.

Proof. First, we show the next sublemma, which is a special case of Lemma 4.3.2.

Sublemma. *Keep the notation and assumption of Lemma 4.3.2. Further, we assume that $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is of the form $\eta = (\mathrm{cl}(\lambda), \mu\,; 0, \sigma, 1)$, with $\mu \in \mathrm{cl}(W\lambda) = \overset{\circ}{W}\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)$ and $0 < \sigma < 1$. Then the assertion of Lemma 4.3.2 holds.*

Proof of Sublemma. Since $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is a finite set (see Remark 2.3.1), it follows that $\max\bigl\{ ||\eta'|| \mid \eta' \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \bigr\} < \infty$, where $||\eta||$ is defined as in (2.3.1); recall that $\mathrm{wt}(\eta)$ is defined by: $\mathrm{wt}(\eta) = \eta(1) \in P_{\mathrm{cl}}$. Now we show the assertion of the sublemma by descending induction on $||\eta||$. When $||\eta|| = \max\bigl\{ ||\eta'|| \mid \eta' \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \bigr\}$, it follows from Lemma 2.3.6 that η is an extremal element. Since the initial direction $\iota(\eta)$ of η is equal to $\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)$ by assumption, we deduce from Remark 2.4.3 that $\eta = \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)}$ (hence there is nothing to prove).

Assume that $||\eta|| < \max\bigl\{ ||\eta'|| \mid \eta' \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \bigr\}$. Set $\Lambda := w_0 \lambda$, where $w_0 \in \overset{\circ}{W}$ is the longest element of $\overset{\circ}{W}$. Then it is easy to check that $\Lambda(h_j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ for all $j \in I_0$. Note that $\mu \in \mathrm{cl}(W\lambda) = \overset{\circ}{W}\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)$ satisfies the condition that $\mu(h_j) \le 0$ for all $j \in I_0$ if and only if $\mu = \mathrm{cl}(\Lambda)$ (see Remark 2.1.5).

We see from [AK, Lemma 1.4] that there exists a sequence $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{N'} \in I$ such that

(a) $r_{j_{N'}} r_{j_{N'-1}} \cdots r_{j_1}(\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)) = \mathrm{cl}(\Lambda)$,
(b) $\bigl(r_{j_p} r_{j_{p-1}} \cdots r_{j_1}(\mathrm{cl}(\lambda))\bigr)(h_{j_{p+1}}) < 0$ for $p = 0, 1, \dots, N'-1$.

Set $\eta' := e_{j_{N'}}^{\max} e_{j_{N'-1}}^{\max} \cdots e_{j_1}^{\max} \eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$. Then it follows from Lemma 2.3.3 that

$$||\eta'|| \ge ||\eta||. \tag{4.3.1}$$

Furthermore, from (b), we deduce by repeated application of Lemma 4.2.2 that

$$e^{\max}_{j_{N'}}e^{\max}_{j_{N'-1}}\cdots e^{\max}_{j_1}\eta = (r_{j_{N'}}r_{j_{N'-1}}\cdots r_{j_1}(\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)),\, \mu'\,;\, 0,\, \sigma,\, 1) \qquad \text{for some } \mu' \in \mathrm{cl}(W\lambda),$$

and hence from (a) that

$$\begin{aligned}\eta' &= e^{\max}_{j_{N'}}e^{\max}_{j_{N'-1}}\cdots e^{\max}_{j_1}\eta = (r_{j_{N'}}r_{j_{N'-1}}\cdots r_{j_1}(\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)),\, \mu'\,;\, 0,\, \sigma,\, 1)\\ &= (\mathrm{cl}(\Lambda),\, \mu'\,;\, 0,\, \sigma,\, 1).\end{aligned}$$

Case 1. $\mu' = \mathrm{cl}(\Lambda)$.
In this case, we have $\eta' = (\mathrm{cl}(\Lambda),\, \mathrm{cl}(\Lambda)\,;\, 0,\, \sigma,\, 1) = \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\Lambda)}$. Let $w_0 = r_{j_{N'+1}}r_{j_{N'+2}}\cdots r_{j_{N-1}}r_{j_N}$ be a reduced expression of $w_0 \in \overset{\circ}{W}$; note that $j_{N'+1},\, j_{N'+2},\, \ldots,\, j_{N-1},\, j_N \in I_0$. Then, since $\Lambda = w_0\lambda$, it follows that

(c) $r_{j_N}r_{j_{N-1}}\cdots r_{j_{N'+1}}(\mathrm{cl}(\Lambda)) = \mathrm{cl}(\lambda)$.

In addition, using [Kac, Lemma 3.11 b)], we obtain

(d) $\big(r_{j_p}r_{j_{p-1}}\cdots r_{j_{N'+1}}(\mathrm{cl}(\Lambda))\big)(h_{j_{p+1}}) < 0$ for all $p = N',\, N'+1,\, \ldots,\, N-1$.

From (c) and (d), we deduce by repeated use of Lemma 4.2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned}&e^{\max}_{j_N}e^{\max}_{j_{N-1}}\cdots e^{\max}_{j_{N'+1}}e^{\max}_{j_{N'}}e^{\max}_{j_{N'-1}}\cdots e^{\max}_{j_1}\eta = e^{\max}_{j_N}e^{\max}_{j_{N-1}}\cdots e^{\max}_{j_{N'+1}}\eta'\\ &\quad = e^{\max}_{j_N}e^{\max}_{j_{N-1}}\cdots e^{\max}_{j_{N'+1}}(\mathrm{cl}(\Lambda), \mathrm{cl}(\Lambda)\,;\, 0, \sigma, 1) = (\mathrm{cl}(\lambda), \mathrm{cl}(\lambda)\,;\, 0, \sigma, 1) = \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the sequence $j_1,\, j_2,\, \ldots,\, j_N \in I$ satisfies condition (A). Also, it follows from (a), (b), and (d) that $\big(r_{j_p}r_{j_{p-1}}\cdots r_{j_1}(\mathrm{cl}(\lambda))\big)(h_{j_{p+1}}) < 0$ for all $p = 0,\, 1,\, \ldots,\, N-1$, and hence that the sequence $j_1,\, j_2,\, \ldots,\, j_N \in I$ satisfies condition (B)'.

Case 2. $\mu' \neq \mathrm{cl}(\Lambda)$.
In this case, we can take (and fix) $j_{N'+1} \in I_0$ such that $\mu'(h_{j_{N'+1}}) > 0$. It is well-known that there exists a reduced expression of $w_0 \in \overset{\circ}{W}$ of the form $w_0 = r_{j_{N'+1}}r_{j_{N'+2}}\cdots r_{j_{N''}}$; note that $j_{N'+1},\, j_{N'+2},\, \ldots,\, j_{N''} \in I_0$. Since $\Lambda = w_0\lambda$, it follows that

(e) $r_{j_{N''}}r_{j_{N''-1}}\cdots r_{j_{N'+1}}(\mathrm{cl}(\Lambda)) = \mathrm{cl}(\lambda)$.

In addition, using [Kac, Lemma 3.11 b)], we obtain

(f) $\big(r_{j_p}r_{j_{p-1}}\cdots r_{j_{N'+1}}(\mathrm{cl}(\Lambda))\big)(h_{j_{p+1}}) < 0$ all for $p = N',\, N'+1,\, \ldots,\, N''-1$.

If we set $\eta'' := e^{\max}_{j_{N''}}e^{\max}_{j_{N''-1}}\cdots e^{\max}_{j_{N'+1}}\eta'$, then $||\eta''|| > ||\eta||$. Indeed, since $(\mathrm{cl}(\Lambda))(h_{j_{N'+1}}) < 0$ by (f) with $p = N'$, it follows from Lemma 2.2.10 (1) that $e_{j_{N'+1}}\eta' \neq \mathbf{0}$. Also, since $\mu'(h_{j_{N'+1}}) > 0$, it follows from Lemma 2.2.12 that $f_{j_{N'+1}}\eta' \neq \mathbf{0}$. Therefore, we see from Lemma 2.3.3 that

$$||e^{\max}_{j_{N'+1}}\eta'|| > ||\eta'||. \tag{4.3.2}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||\eta''|| &\geq ||e_{j_{N'+1}}^{\max}\eta'|| \qquad \text{by Lemma 2.3.3} \\ &> ||\eta'|| \qquad \text{by (4.3.2)} \\ &\geq ||\eta|| \qquad \text{by (4.3.1).} \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, from (e) and (f), we deduce, by applying Lemma 4.2.2 successively, that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta'' = e_{j_{N''}}^{\max} e_{j_{N''-1}}^{\max} \cdots e_{j_{N'+1}}^{\max}\eta' &= (r_{j_{N''}} r_{j_{N''-1}} \cdots r_{j_{N'+1}}(\mathrm{cl}(\Lambda)),\, \mu''\,;\, 0,\, \sigma,\, 1) \\ &= (\mathrm{cl}(\lambda), \mu''\,;\, 0,\, \sigma,\, 1) \end{aligned}$$

for some $\mu'' \in \mathrm{cl}(W\lambda)$. Therefore, by the inductive assumption, there exists a sequence $j_{N''+1},\, j_{N''+2},\, \ldots,\, j_N \in I$ satisfying conditions (A) and (B)' for η''. It is easily checked by (a), (b), (e), (f), and the inductive assumption that the sequence

$$j_1,\, j_2,\, \ldots,\, j_{N'},\, j_{N'+1},\, j_{N'+2},\, \ldots,\, j_{N''},\, j_{N''+1},\, j_{N''+2},\, \ldots,\, j_N \in I$$

satisfies conditions (A) and (B)' for η. This proves the sublemma.

Now we turn to the proof of Lemma 4.3.2. By Remark 2.3.1, the set of all elements of $[0,1]$ appearing as σ_u's in the reduced expression $(\underline{\nu}\,;\, \sigma_0,\, \sigma_1,\, \ldots,\, \sigma_s)$ of some element of $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$, is a finite set. Let $\eta = (\mathrm{cl}(\lambda),\, \mu_2,\, \ldots,\, \mu_s\,;\, \sigma_0,\, \sigma_1,\, \sigma_2,\, \ldots,\, \sigma_s)$ be the reduced expression of η. Using this fact, we show the assertion of Lemma 4.3.2 by descending induction on σ_1 in the reduced expression $(\mathrm{cl}(\lambda),\, \mu_2,\, \ldots,\, \mu_s\,;\, \sigma_0,\, \sigma_1,\, \sigma_2,\, \ldots,\, \sigma_s)$ of $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$. When $\sigma_1 = 1$, $\eta = \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)}$, since $\iota(\eta) = \mathrm{cl}(\lambda)$ by the assumption of the lemma. Thus the assertion obviously holds. Assume that $\sigma_1 < 1$, or equivalently, $s \geq 2$. Set $\eta' := (\mathrm{cl}(\lambda), \mu_2\,;\, \sigma_0,\, \sigma_1,\, \sigma_s)$; note that $\eta' \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ by Lemma 4.2.3 (1). It follows from the sublemma above that there exists a sequence $j_1,\, j_2,\, \ldots,\, j_{N'} \in I$ satisfying conditions (A) and (B)' for η'. We set $\eta'' := e_{j_{N'}}^{\max} e_{j_{N'-1}}^{\max} \cdots e_{j_1}^{\max}\eta$. Then, repeated use of Lemma 4.2.3 (2) shows that

$$\eta''(t) = (e_{j_{N'}}^{\max} e_{j_{N'-1}}^{\max} \cdots e_{j_1}^{\max}\eta')(t) = \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)}(t) = t\,\mathrm{cl}(\lambda) \qquad \text{for } t \in [0, \sigma_2]. \tag{4.3.3}$$

Hence the initial direction $\iota(\eta'')$, which equals $r_{j_{N'}} r_{j_{N'-1}} \cdots r_{j_1}(\mathrm{cl}(\lambda))$ by Lemma 4.2.3 (2), must be equal to $\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)$. Therefore, if $\eta'' = (\mathrm{cl}(\lambda),\, \mu'_2,\, \ldots,\, \mu'_{s'}\,;\, \sigma'_0,\, \sigma'_1,\, \sigma'_2,\, \ldots,\, \sigma'_{s'})$ is the reduced expression of η'', then we see from (4.3.3) that $\sigma'_1 \geq \sigma_2 > \sigma_1$. Hence, by the inductive assumption, there exists a sequence $j_{N'+1},\, j_{N'+2},\, \ldots,\, j_N \in I$ satisfying conditions (A) and (B)' for η''. Thus we obtain a sequence

$$j_1,\, j_2,\, \ldots,\, j_{N'},\, j_{N'+1},\, j_{N'+2},\, \ldots,\, j_N \in I$$

satisfying conditions (A) and (B)' for η (note that $r_{j_{N'}} r_{j_{N'-1}} \cdots r_{j_1}(\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)) = \iota(\eta'') = \mathrm{cl}(\lambda)$). This completes the proof of Lemma 4.3.2. □

Since λ is assumed to be strictly level-zero dominant, we see from Lemma 4.2.1 (3) that the condition (B)' of Lemma 4.3.2 can be replaced with condition (B) of Proposition 4.3.1. Namely, we have

Lemma 4.3.3. *Let* $\lambda \in \sum_{i\in I_0} \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\varpi_i$ *be a strictly level-zero dominant integral weight. Let* η *be an element of* $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ *such that* $\iota(\eta) = \mathrm{cl}(\lambda)$. *Then, there exists a sequence* $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_N \in I$ *satisfying conditions* (A) *and* (B) *of Proposition 4.3.1.*

Finally, let us give a proof of Proposition 4.3.1.

Proof of Proposition 4.3.1. We set $\rho := \sum_{i\in I_0} \varpi_i \in P_+^0$; note that ρ is strictly level-zero dominant, and hence so is $\lambda+\rho \in \sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\varpi_i$. Since $e_j\eta = \mathbf{0}$ for all $j \in I_0$ by assumption and $e_j\eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\rho)} = \mathbf{0}$ for all $j \in I_0$ by the definition of the root operators e_j, we see from the tensor product rule for crystals that $\eta \otimes \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\rho)} \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\rho)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ also satisfies the condition that $e_j(\eta \otimes \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\rho)}) = \mathbf{0}$ for all $j \in I_0$. Recall from Corollary 2.4.5 (1) that there exists an isomorphism $\Psi_{\lambda,\rho} : \mathbb{B}(\lambda+\rho)_{\mathrm{cl}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\rho)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ of P_{cl}-crystals. Set $\eta' := \Psi_{\lambda,\rho}^{-1}(\eta \otimes \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\rho)}) \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda+\rho)_{\mathrm{cl}}$. Then, clearly $e_j\eta' = \mathbf{0}$ for all $j \in I_0$. Hence it follows from Lemma 2.2.10 (1) (see also Remark 2.2.7) that the initial direction $\iota(\eta') \in \mathrm{cl}(W(\lambda+\rho)) = \mathring{W}\,\mathrm{cl}(\lambda+\rho)$ of η' is level-zero dominant, and hence from Remark 2.1.5 that $\iota(\eta') = \mathrm{cl}(\lambda+\rho)$. Since $\lambda+\rho \in \sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\varpi_i$ is strictly level-zero dominant, we know from Lemma 4.3.3 that there exists a sequence $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_N \in I$ satisfying conditions (A) and (B) for η'. It remains to show that $e_{j_N}^{\max} e_{j_{N-1}}^{\max} \cdots e_{j_1}^{\max}\eta = \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)}$, i.e., that the sequence $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_N \in I$ also satisfies condition (A) for η. We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} e_{j_N}^{\max} e_{j_{N-1}}^{\max} \cdots e_{j_1}^{\max}(\eta \otimes \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\rho)}) &= e_{j_N}^{\max} e_{j_{N-1}}^{\max} \cdots e_{j_1}^{\max}\bigl(\Psi_{\lambda,\rho}(\eta')\bigr) \\ &= \Psi_{\lambda,\rho}\bigl(e_{j_N}^{\max} e_{j_{N-1}}^{\max} \cdots e_{j_1}^{\max}\eta'\bigr) = \Psi_{\lambda,\rho}(\eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda+\rho)}) \quad \text{by condition (A) for } \eta' \\ &= \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)} \otimes \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\rho)} \qquad \text{by (2.4.3).} \end{aligned}$$

Also, we see from Lemma 2.3.2 (2) that

$$e_{j_N}^{\max} e_{j_{N-1}}^{\max} \cdots e_{j_1}^{\max}(\eta \otimes \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\rho)}) = (e_{j_N}^{\max} e_{j_{N-1}}^{\max} \cdots e_{j_1}^{\max}\eta) \otimes \eta'' \quad \text{for some } \eta'' \in \mathbb{B}(\rho)_{\mathrm{cl}}.$$

Thus, we obtain $e_{j_N}^{\max} e_{j_{N-1}}^{\max} \cdots e_{j_1}^{\max}\eta = \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)}$. This establishes Proposition 4.3.1. □

4.4 Proof of Theorem 4.1.2. Let $\lambda, \lambda' \in P_+^0$ be level-zero dominant integral weights. For $\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\lambda')_{\mathrm{cl}}$, we define (see Theorem 2.5.1)

$$D_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) := H_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) + \mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta_1) + \mathrm{Deg}_{\lambda'}(\widetilde{\eta}_2), \tag{4.4.1}$$

where $\widetilde{\eta}_2 \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda')_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is defined by: $\widetilde{\eta}_2 \otimes \widetilde{\eta}_1 = R_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)$ (see Corollary 2.4.5 (2)). From Theorem 2.5.1 (H2) and Lemma 3.2.1 (1), we deduce by use of (2.4.4) that

$$D_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)} \otimes \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda')}) = H_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)} \otimes \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda')}) + \mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)}) + \mathrm{Deg}_{\lambda'}(\eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda')}) = 0. \tag{4.4.2}$$

Lemma 4.4.1. *Let* $\lambda,\ \lambda' \in P_+^0$ *be level-zero dominant integral weights, and let* $\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\lambda')_{\mathrm{cl}}$.

(1) $D_{\lambda,\lambda'}(e_j^{\max}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)) = D_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)$ *for all* $j \in I_0$.

(2) *If* $(\iota(\eta_1))(h_0) \le 0$ *and* $(\iota(\widetilde{\eta}_2))(h_0) \le 0$, *then*

$$D_{\lambda,\lambda'}(e_0^{\max}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)) = D_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) - (\iota(\eta_1) + \iota(\widetilde{\eta}_2))(h_0) - \varepsilon_0(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2). \tag{4.4.3}$$

Proof. Let $j \in I$. We see from Lemma 2.3.2 (2) that

$$e_j^{\max}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) = e_j^{\max}\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2' \quad \text{for some } \eta_2' \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda')_{\mathrm{cl}},$$

and hence that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\lambda,\lambda'}(e_j^{\max}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)) &= e_j^{\max} R_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) = e_j^{\max}(\widetilde{\eta}_2 \otimes \widetilde{\eta}_1) \\ &= e_j^{\max}\widetilde{\eta}_2 \otimes \eta_1' \quad \text{for some } \eta_1' \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from the definition (4.4.1) of $D_{\lambda,\lambda'}$, we obtain

$$D_{\lambda,\lambda'}(e_j^{\max}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)) = H_{\lambda,\lambda'}(e_j^{\max}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)) + \mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(e_j^{\max}\eta_1) + \mathrm{Deg}_{\lambda'}(e_j^{\max}\widetilde{\eta}_2). \tag{4.4.4}$$

From (4.4.4), part (1) follows immediately by Theorem 2.5.1 (H1) and Lemma 3.2.1 (2). Let us prove part (2). We give a proof only for the case $\varepsilon_0(\eta_1) \ge \varepsilon_0(\widetilde{\eta}_2)$; the proof for the case $\varepsilon_0(\eta_1) < \varepsilon_0(\widetilde{\eta}_2)$ is similar. By Lemma 3.2.2,

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(e_0^{\max}\eta_1) = \mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta_1) - \varepsilon_0(\eta_1) - (\iota(\eta_1))(h_0), \\ \mathrm{Deg}_{\lambda'}(e_0^{\max}\widetilde{\eta}_2) = \mathrm{Deg}_{\lambda'}(\widetilde{\eta}_2) - \varepsilon_0(\widetilde{\eta}_2) - (\iota(\widetilde{\eta}_2))(h_0). \end{cases} \tag{4.4.5}$$

For simplicity of notation, we set $L := \varepsilon_0(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)$; note that $L = \varepsilon_0(\widetilde{\eta}_2 \otimes \widetilde{\eta}_1)$ since $\widetilde{\eta}_2 \otimes \widetilde{\eta}_1 = R_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)$, and that $L \ge \varepsilon_0(\eta_1),\ \varepsilon_0(\widetilde{\eta}_2)$ by Lemma 2.3.2 (1). It follows from Lemma 2.3.2 (2) that for $0 \le l \le L$,

$$e_0^l(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) = \begin{cases} \eta_1 \otimes e_0^l\eta_2 & \text{if } 0 \le l \le L - \varepsilon_0(\eta_1), \\ e_0^{l-L+\varepsilon_0(\eta_1)}\eta_1 \otimes e_0^{L-\varepsilon_0(\eta_1)}\eta_2 & \text{if } L - \varepsilon_0(\eta_1) \le l \le L, \end{cases}$$

and

$$e_0^l(\widetilde{\eta}_2 \otimes \widetilde{\eta}_1) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{\eta}_2 \otimes e_0^l\widetilde{\eta}_1 & \text{if } 0 \le l \le L - \varepsilon_0(\widetilde{\eta}_2), \\ e_0^{l-L+\varepsilon_0(\widetilde{\eta}_2)}\widetilde{\eta}_2 \otimes e_0^{L-\varepsilon_0(\widetilde{\eta}_2)}\widetilde{\eta}_1 & \text{if } L - \varepsilon_0(\widetilde{\eta}_2) \le l \le L. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we deduce from Theorem 2.5.1 (H1) that for $0 \le l' \le L - \varepsilon_0(\eta_1)$,

$$H_{\lambda,\lambda'}(e_0^{l'}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)) = H_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) - l'. \tag{4.4.6}$$

Similarly, we deduce from Theorem 2.5.1 (H1) that for $0 \le l' \le \varepsilon_0(\eta_1) - \varepsilon_0(\widetilde{\eta}_2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\lambda,\lambda'}(e_0^{L-\varepsilon_0(\eta_1)+l'}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)) &= H_{\lambda,\lambda'}(e_0^{L-\varepsilon_0(\eta_1)}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)) \\ &= H_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) - L + \varepsilon_0(\eta_1) \quad \text{by (4.4.6) with } l' = L - \varepsilon_0(\eta_1), \end{aligned} \tag{4.4.7}$$

and that for $0 \le l' \le \varepsilon_0(\widetilde{\eta}_2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\lambda,\lambda'}(e_0^{L-\varepsilon_0(\widetilde{\eta}_2)+l'}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)) &= H_{\lambda,\lambda'}(e_0^{L-\varepsilon_0(\widetilde{\eta}_2)}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)) + l' \\ &= H_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) - L + \varepsilon_0(\eta_1) + l' \quad \text{by (4.4.7) with } l' = \varepsilon_0(\eta_1) - \varepsilon_0(\widetilde{\eta}_2). \end{aligned} \tag{4.4.8}$$

Finally, by taking $l' = \varepsilon_0(\widetilde{\eta}_2)$ in (4.4.8), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\lambda,\lambda'}(e_0^{\max}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)) &= H_{\lambda,\lambda'}(e_0^{L}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)) \\ &= H_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) - L + \varepsilon_0(\eta_1) + \varepsilon_0(\widetilde{\eta}_2) \\ &= H_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) - \varepsilon_0(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) + \varepsilon_0(\eta_1) + \varepsilon_0(\widetilde{\eta}_2). \end{aligned} \tag{4.4.9}$$

By substituting (4.4.5) and (4.4.9) into (4.4.4), and then comparing the resulting equation with (4.4.1), we obtain the desired equation (4.4.3). This proves the lemma. □

Proposition 4.4.2. *Let λ, $\lambda' \in P_+^0$ be level-zero dominant integral weights, and let $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda+\lambda')_{\mathrm{cl}}$. Then,*

$$\mathrm{Deg}_{\lambda+\lambda'}(\eta) = D_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\Psi_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta)), \tag{4.4.10}$$

where $\Psi_{\lambda,\lambda'} : \mathbb{B}(\lambda+\lambda')_{\mathrm{cl}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\lambda')_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is the isomorphism of P_{cl}-crystals in Corollary 2.4.5 (1).

Proof. If $j \in I_0$, then it follows from Lemmas 3.2.1 (2) and 4.4.1 (1) that $\mathrm{Deg}_{\lambda+\lambda'}(e_j^{\max}\eta) = \mathrm{Deg}_{\lambda+\lambda'}(\eta)$ and $D_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\Psi_{\lambda,\lambda'}(e_j^{\max}\eta)) = D_{\lambda,\lambda'}\bigl(e_j^{\max}(\Psi_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta))\bigr) = D_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\Psi_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta))$. Therefore, we may assume that $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda+\lambda')_{\mathrm{cl}}$ satisfies the condition that $e_j\eta = \mathbf{0}$ for all $j \in I_0$, since the P_{cl}-crystal $\mathbb{B}(\lambda+\lambda')_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is regular. Then it follows from Lemma 2.2.10 (1) (see also Remark 2.2.7) that $\iota(\eta) \in \mathrm{cl}(W\lambda) = \overset{\circ}{W}\,\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)$ is level-zero dominant, and hence from Remark 2.1.5 that $\iota(\eta) = \mathrm{cl}(\lambda+\lambda')$. Set $\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 := \Psi_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta) \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\lambda')_{\mathrm{cl}}$, and $\widetilde{\eta}_2 \otimes \widetilde{\eta}_1 := R_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)$. Since $e_j\eta = \mathbf{0}$ for all $j \in I_0$, we have $e_j(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) = \mathbf{0}$ and $e_j(\widetilde{\eta}_2 \otimes \widetilde{\eta}_1) = \mathbf{0}$ for all $j \in I_0$, which implies that $e_j\eta_1 = \mathbf{0}$ and $e_j\widetilde{\eta}_2 = \mathbf{0}$ for all $j \in I_0$ by Lemma 2.3.2 (1). Hence an argument similar to the above shows that $\iota(\eta_1) = \mathrm{cl}(\lambda)$ and $\iota(\widetilde{\eta}_2) = \mathrm{cl}(\lambda')$.

By Proposition 4.3.1, there exists a sequence $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_N \in I$ satisfying conditions (A) and (B) for $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda+\lambda')_{\mathrm{cl}}$. Condition (B) implies that

$$\bigl(w^{(p)}(\mathrm{cl}(\lambda+\lambda'))\bigr)(h_{j_{p+1}}) \le 0 \quad \text{for } p = 0, 1, \dots, N-1, \tag{4.4.11}$$

by Lemma 4.2.1 (2), where we set $w^{(p)} := r_{j_p} r_{j_{p-1}} \cdots r_{j_1}$ for $0 \le p \le N$. Therefore, we see from Lemma 2.2.11 that

$$\iota(E^{(p)}\eta) = w^{(p)}(\mathrm{cl}(\lambda+\lambda')) \quad \text{for } p = 0, 1, \dots, N, \tag{4.4.12}$$

where we set $E^{(p)} := e_{j_p}^{\max} e_{j_{p-1}}^{\max} \cdots e_{j_1}^{\max}$ for $0 \le p \le N$. Because $\iota(\eta_1) = \mathrm{cl}(\lambda)$ and $\iota(\widetilde{\eta}_2) = \mathrm{cl}(\lambda')$, an argument similar to the above shows that

$$\begin{cases} \iota(E^{(p)}\eta_1) = w^{(p)}(\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)) & \text{for } p = 0, 1, \ldots, N, \\ \bigl(w^{(p)}(\mathrm{cl}(\lambda))\bigr)(h_{j_{p+1}}) \le 0 & \text{for } p = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1, \end{cases} \tag{4.4.13}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \iota(E^{(p)}\widetilde{\eta}_2) = w^{(p)}(\mathrm{cl}(\lambda')) & \text{for } p = 0, 1, \ldots, N, \\ \bigl(w^{(p)}(\mathrm{cl}(\lambda'))\bigr)(h_{j_{p+1}}) \le 0 & \text{for } p = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1. \end{cases} \tag{4.4.14}$$

Now we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathrm{Deg}_{\lambda+\lambda'}(\eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda+\lambda')}) \quad \text{by Lemma 3.2.1 (1)} \\ &= \mathrm{Deg}_{\lambda+\lambda'}(e_{j_N}^{\max} e_{j_{N-1}}^{\max} \cdots e_{j_1}^{\max}\eta) \quad \text{by condition (A) for } \eta \\ &= \mathrm{Deg}_{\lambda+\lambda'}(\eta) - \sum_{1 \le p \le N\,;\, j_p = 0} \bigl\{\varepsilon_0(E^{(p-1)}\eta) + \bigl(w^{(p-1)}(\mathrm{cl}(\lambda+\lambda'))\bigr)(h_{j_p})\bigr\} \\ &\qquad\qquad \text{by Lemma 3.2.2 along with (4.4.11), (4.4.12),} \end{aligned}$$

and hence that

$$\mathrm{Deg}_{\lambda+\lambda'}(\eta) = \sum_{1 \le p \le N\,;\, j_p = 0} \bigl\{\varepsilon_0(E^{(p-1)}\eta) + \bigl(w^{(p-1)}(\mathrm{cl}(\lambda+\lambda'))\bigr)(h_{j_p})\bigr\}. \tag{4.4.15}$$

Here, by Lemma 2.3.2 (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &E^{(p)}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) = E^{(p)}\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2' \quad \text{for some } \eta_2' \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda')_{\mathrm{cl}}, \\ &R_{\lambda,\lambda'}(E^{(p)}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)) = E^{(p)}(R_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)) \\ &\qquad = E^{(p)}(\widetilde{\eta}_2 \otimes \widetilde{\eta}_1) = E^{(p)}\widetilde{\eta}_2 \otimes \eta_1' \quad \text{for some } \eta_1' \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda)} \otimes \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\lambda')}) \quad \text{by (4.4.2)} \\ &= D_{\lambda,\lambda'}(e_{j_N}^{\max} e_{j_{N-1}}^{\max} \cdots e_{j_1}^{\max}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)) \quad \text{by condition (A) for } \eta \text{ and (2.4.3)} \\ &= D_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) - \sum_{1 \le p \le N\,;\, j_p = 0} \bigl\{\varepsilon_0(E^{(p-1)}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)) + \bigl(w^{(p-1)}(\mathrm{cl}(\lambda+\lambda'))\bigr)(h_{j_p})\bigr\} \\ &\qquad\qquad \text{by Lemma 4.4.1 along with (4.4.13), (4.4.14).} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Psi_{\lambda,\lambda'}(E^{(p)}\eta) = E^{(p)}\Psi_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta) = E^{(p)}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)$, it follows that $\varepsilon_0(E^{(p)}\eta) = \varepsilon_0(E^{(p)}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2))$ for all $p = 0, 1, \ldots, N$. Thus we obtain

$$D_{\lambda,\lambda'}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) = \sum_{1 \le p \le N\,;\, j_p = 0} \bigl\{\varepsilon_0(E^{(p-1)}\eta) + \bigl(w^{(p-1)}(\mathrm{cl}(\lambda+\lambda'))\bigr)(h_{j_p})\bigr\}. \tag{4.4.16}$$

Equation (4.4.10) follows immediately from (4.4.15) and (4.4.16). This completes the proof of the proposition. □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 4.1.2.

Proof of Theorem 4.1.2. We proceed by induction on the length n of the sequence $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n)$. When $n = 1$, the assertion obviously holds. Assume that $n > 1$, and set $\mathbf{i}' := (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{n-1})$, $\lambda' := \lambda - \varpi_{i_n} \in P^0_+$. Recall from Theorem 2.4.1 and Corollary 2.4.5 (1) that there exist isomorphisms $\Psi_{\mathbf{i}'} : \mathbb{B}(\lambda')_{\mathrm{cl}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}'} = \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_1})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_2})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_{n-1}})_{\mathrm{cl}}$ and $\Psi_{\lambda',\varpi_{i_n}} : \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}(\lambda')_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_n})_{\mathrm{cl}}$ of P_{cl}-crystals. Let $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$, and set $\eta' \otimes \eta'' := \Psi_{\lambda',\varpi_{i_n}}(\eta) \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda')_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_n})_{\mathrm{cl}}$, $\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_n := \Psi_{\mathbf{i}}(\eta) \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_1})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_2})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_n})_{\mathrm{cl}}$. Note that both of $\Psi_{\mathbf{i}}$ and $(\Psi_{\mathbf{i}'} \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Psi_{\lambda',\varpi_{i_n}}$ are isomorphisms of P_{cl}-crystals from $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ to $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$. Since the P_{cl}-crystals $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ are both simple, it follows from Lemma 2.3.9 (3) that $\Psi_{\mathbf{i}} = (\Psi_{\mathbf{i}'} \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Psi_{\lambda',\varpi_{i_n}}$, and hence that

$$\eta'' = \eta_n \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_{\mathbf{i}'}(\eta') = \eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_{n-1}. \tag{4.4.17}$$

We see from Proposition 4.4.2 that

$$\mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta) = D_{\lambda',\varpi_{i_n}}(\eta' \otimes \eta'') = H_{\lambda',\varpi_{i_n}}(\eta' \otimes \eta'') + \mathrm{Deg}_{\lambda'}(\eta') + \mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_{i_n}}(\widetilde{\eta}''), \tag{4.4.18}$$

where we set $\widetilde{\eta}'' \otimes \widetilde{\eta}' := R_{\lambda',\varpi_{i_n}}(\eta' \otimes \eta'')$. Here we remark (see the definition of $\eta_n^{(1)}$ in §4.1) that the element $\eta_n^{(1)} \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_n})_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is the first factor of the image of $\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_n \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ under the (unique) isomorphism $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Psi_{\mathbf{i}'}) \circ R_{\lambda',\varpi_{i_n}} \circ \Psi_{\lambda',\varpi_{i_n}} \circ \Psi_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1}$ of P_{cl}-crystals, which is obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_1})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_2})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_n})_{\mathrm{cl}} = \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\Psi_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1}} \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\Psi_{\lambda',\varpi_{i_n}}} \mathbb{B}(\lambda')_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_n})_{\mathrm{cl}} \\ &\xrightarrow[\sim]{R_{\lambda',\varpi_{i_n}}} \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_n})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\lambda')_{\mathrm{cl}} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mathrm{id} \otimes \Psi_{\mathbf{i}'}} \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_n})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_1})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_{n-1}})_{\mathrm{cl}}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, it is easy to check that this first factor is equal to $\widetilde{\eta}'' \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_n})_{\mathrm{cl}}$. Thus we have $\eta_n^{(1)} = \widetilde{\eta}''$. Furthermore, using (4.4.17), we see from [O, Lemma 5.2] that

$$H_{\lambda',\varpi_{i_n}}(\eta' \otimes \eta'') = \sum_{1 \le k \le n-1} H_{\varpi_{i_k},\varpi_{i_n}}(\eta_k \otimes \eta_n^{(k+1)}). \tag{4.4.19}$$

Now, the inductive assumption along with (4.4.17) implies that

$$\mathrm{Deg}_{\lambda'}(\eta') = \sum_{1 \le k < l \le n-1} H_{\varpi_{i_k},\varpi_{i_l}}(\eta_k \otimes \eta_l^{(k+1)}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_{i_k}}(\eta_k^{(1)}). \tag{4.4.20}$$

By substituting (4.4.19) and (4.4.20) into (4.4.18), and using the fact that $\eta_n^{(1)} = \widetilde{\eta}''$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Deg}_{\lambda}(\eta) &= H_{\lambda',\varpi_{i_n}}(\eta' \otimes \eta'') + \mathrm{Deg}_{\lambda'}(\eta') + \mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_{i_n}}(\widetilde{\eta}'') \\
&= \sum_{1\le k\le n-1} H_{\varpi_{i_k},\varpi_{i_n}}(\eta_k \otimes \eta_n^{(k+1)}) \\
&\qquad + \sum_{1\le k<l\le n-1} H_{\varpi_{i_k},\varpi_{i_l}}(\eta_k \otimes \eta_l^{(k+1)}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_{i_k}}(\eta_k^{(1)}) + \mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_{i_n}}(\eta_n^{(1)}) \\
&= \sum_{1\le k<l\le n} H_{\varpi_{i_k},\varpi_{i_l}}(\eta_k \otimes \eta_l^{(k+1)}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_{i_k}}(\eta_k^{(1)}).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of equation (4.1.4), thereby establishing Theorem 4.1.2. □

4.5 Proof of Theorem 4.1.3. Fix an arbitrary $i \in I_0$. Recall from Corollary 2.4.5 (1) that there exists an isomorphism $\Psi_{\varpi_i,\varpi_i} : \mathbb{B}(2\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ of P_{cl}-crystals. The next lemma follows from the proof of [NS2, Proposition 3.4.4].

Lemma 4.5.1. *Let $\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$. Then, the inverse image $\Psi_{\varpi_i,\varpi_i}^{-1}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) \in \mathbb{B}(2\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ of $\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ under the isomorphism Ψ_{ϖ_i,ϖ_i} is equal to the concatenation $\eta_1 * \eta_2$ of η_1 and η_2 defined by*:

$$(\eta_1 * \eta_2)(t) = \begin{cases} \eta_1(2t) & \text{if } \ 0 \le t \le 1/2, \\ \eta_1(1) + \eta_2(2t-1) & \text{if } \ 1/2 \le t \le 1. \end{cases} \tag{4.5.1}$$

In addition, from [NS2, Proposition 3.2.2], we have

Lemma 4.5.2. *The set $\mathbb{B}(2\varpi_i)$ coincides with the set of all concatenations $\pi_1 * \pi_2$ of LS paths π_1, $\pi_2 \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$ such that $\kappa(\pi_1) \ge \iota(\pi_2)$. Here, the concatenation $\pi_1 * \pi_2$ is defined by the same formula as in* (4.5.1).

Lemma 4.5.3. *Let $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(2\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$, and set $\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 := \Psi_{\varpi_i,\varpi_i}(\eta) \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$. Then,*

$$\mathrm{Deg}_{2\varpi_i}(\eta) = H_{\varpi_i,\varpi_i}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) + 2\,\mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta_1). \tag{4.5.2}$$

Proof. Applying Theorem 4.1.2 to the case in which $\mathbf{i} = (i, i)$ and hence $\lambda = 2\varpi_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Deg}_{2\varpi_i}(\eta) &= H_{\varpi_i,\varpi_i}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2^{(2)}) + \mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta_1^{(1)}) + \mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta_2^{(1)}) \\
&= H_{\varpi_i,\varpi_i}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) + \mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta_1) + \mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta_2^{(1)}),
\end{aligned}$$

since $\eta_1^{(1)} = \eta_1$ and $\eta_2^{(2)} = \eta_2$ by definition. From Lemma 2.3.9 (3) and the definition of $\eta_2^{(1)}$, we deduce that $\eta_2^{(1)} = \eta_1$, since the P_{cl}-crystal $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is simple. Thus we obtain (4.5.2). This proves the lemma. □

Let us fix an (arbitrary) $\eta^\flat \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ such that $f_j \eta^\flat = \mathbf{0}$ for all $j \in I_0$. Then it follows from (2.2.10) that $f_j \pi_{\eta^\flat} = \mathbf{0}$ for all $j \in I_0$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2.12, the final direction $\nu^\flat := \kappa(\pi_{\eta^\flat}) \in W\varpi_i$ of $\pi_{\eta^\flat}$ satisfies the condition that $\nu^\flat(h_j) \le 0$ for all $j \in I_0$. Hence $\mathrm{cl}(\nu^\flat) = w_0(\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i))$ by Remark 2.1.5. From this, we deduce (using Lemma 2.1.2) that $\nu^\flat \in W\varpi_i$ can be written as $\nu^\flat = w_0\varpi_i + k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}\delta$ for some $k^\flat \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 4.5.4. *Let* $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$*, and set* $\eta' := \Psi^{-1}_{\varpi_i,\varpi_i}(\eta^\flat \otimes \eta) \in \mathbb{B}(2\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$.
(1) *The path* $\pi_{\eta^\flat} * (\pi_\eta + \pi_{k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}\delta})$ *lies in* $\mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\eta') \cap \mathbb{B}(2\varpi_i)$.
(2) $\pi_{\eta'} = \pi_{\eta^\flat} * (\pi_\eta + \pi_{k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}\delta})$.

Proof. (1) First, note that η' is equal to the concatenation $\eta^\flat * \eta$ by Lemma 4.5.1. In addition, by the definition of concatenations (see (4.5.1)), $\mathrm{cl}\bigl(\pi_{\eta^\flat} * (\pi_\eta + \pi_{k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}\delta})\bigr) = \mathrm{cl}(\pi_{\eta^\flat}) * \mathrm{cl}(\pi_\eta + \pi_{k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}\delta})$. Since $\mathrm{cl}(\pi_{\eta^\flat}) = \eta^\flat$ and $\mathrm{cl}(\pi_\eta + \pi_{k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}\delta}) = \mathrm{cl}(\pi_\eta) = \eta$, we deduce that

$$\mathrm{cl}\bigl(\pi_{\eta^\flat} * (\pi_\eta + \pi_{k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}\delta})\bigr) = \mathrm{cl}(\pi_{\eta^\flat}) * \mathrm{cl}(\pi_\eta + \pi_{k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}\delta}) = \eta^\flat * \eta = \eta',$$

and hence that $\pi_{\eta^\flat} * (\pi_\eta + \pi_{k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}\delta}) \in \mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\eta')$.

Next, we show that $\pi_{\eta^\flat} * (\pi_\eta + \pi_{k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}\delta}) \in \mathbb{B}(2\varpi_i)$. Note that $\pi_\eta + \pi_{k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}\delta} \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$ by Lemma 2.2.5. Therefore, by means of Lemma 4.5.2, it suffices to show that $\iota(\pi_\eta + \pi_{k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}\delta}) \le \nu^\flat = \kappa(\pi_{\eta^\flat})$. Let us write $\iota(\pi_\eta) \in \varpi_i - \mathring{Q}_+$ as $\iota(\pi_\eta) = w\varpi_i$ for some $w \in \mathring{W}$ (see Lemma 2.1.2). Since $w_0 \in \mathring{W}$ is greater than or equal to $w \in \mathring{W}$ with respect to the usual Bruhat ordering on the (finite) Weyl group $\mathring{W}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{I_0}$, it follows (see [L2, Remark 4.2]) that $w_0\varpi_i \ge w\varpi_i$. From this, using the definition of the ordering $\ge$ on $W\varpi_i$, we deduce that

$$\nu^\flat = w_0\varpi_i + k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}\delta \ge w\varpi_i + k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}\delta = \iota(\pi_\eta) + k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}\delta. \tag{4.5.3}$$

Hence, by Remark 2.2.3,

$$\iota(\pi_\eta + \pi_{k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}\delta}) = \iota(\pi_\eta) + \iota(\pi_{k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}\delta}) = \iota(\pi_\eta) + k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}\delta \le w_0\varpi_i + k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}\delta = \nu^\flat.$$

This proves part (1).

(2) First, by the definitions of $\mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta^\flat)$ and $\mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta)$, we can write $\pi_{\eta^\flat}(1) \in P$ and $\pi_\eta(1) \in P$ as $\pi_{\eta^\flat}(1) = \varpi_i - a_0^{-1}\beta^\flat - a_0^{-1}\,\mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta^\flat)$ and $\pi_\eta(1) = \varpi_i - a_0^{-1}\beta - a_0^{-1}\,\mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta)$ for some $\beta^\flat$, $\beta \in \mathring{Q}_+$, respectively. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\pi_{\eta^\flat} * (\pi_\eta + \pi_{k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}\delta}))(1) &= \pi_{\eta^\flat}(1) + (\pi_\eta + \pi_{k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}\delta})(1) = \pi_{\eta^\flat}(1) + \pi_\eta(1) + \pi_{k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}\delta}(1) \\ &= \bigl\{\varpi_i - a_0^{-1}\beta^\flat - a_0^{-1}\,\mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta^\flat)\bigr\} + \bigl\{\varpi_i - a_0^{-1}\beta - a_0^{-1}\,\mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta)\bigr\} + k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}\delta \\ &= 2\varpi_i - a_0^{-1}(\beta^\flat + \beta) - a_0^{-1}(\mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta^\flat) + \mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta) - a_0 k^\flat d_{\varpi_i})\delta. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5.4}$$

Now, in view of Proposition 3.1.4 (1), it suffices to show the following:

$$\iota\bigl(\pi_{\eta^\flat} * (\pi_\eta + \pi_{k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}\delta})\bigr) \in 2\varpi_i - \mathring{Q}_+, \tag{4.5.5}$$

$$\mathrm{Deg}_{2\varpi_i}(\eta') \le \mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta^\flat) + \mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta) - a_0 k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}. \tag{4.5.6}$$

But, we easily deduce from the definition of concatenations that $\iota\big(\pi_{\eta^\flat} * (\pi_\eta + \pi_{k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}\delta})\big) = 2\iota(\pi_{\eta^\flat})$, which lies in $2\varpi_i - \mathring{Q}_+$ since $\iota(\pi_{\eta^\flat}) \in \varpi_i - \mathring{Q}_+$ by definition.

Let us show (4.5.6). Set $\pi_1(t) := \pi_{\eta'}(\frac{t}{2})$ and $\pi_2(t) := \pi_{\eta'}(\frac{t+1}{2}) - \pi_{\eta'}(\frac{1}{2})$ for $t \in [0,1]$. Then it is obvious that $\pi_{\eta'} = \pi_1 * \pi_2$. Also, we see from the proof of [NS2, Proposition 3.2.2] that π_1, $\pi_2 \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$. Furthermore, since $\eta^\flat * \eta = \eta' = \mathrm{cl}(\pi_{\eta'}) = \mathrm{cl}(\pi_1) * \mathrm{cl}(\pi_2)$, we see that $\mathrm{cl}(\pi_1) = \eta^\flat$ and $\mathrm{cl}(\pi_2) = \eta$.

Since $\iota(\pi_{\eta'}) \in 2\varpi_i - \mathring{Q}_+$, and since $\iota(\pi_1) = \frac{1}{2}\iota(\pi_{\eta'})$ by the definition of $\pi_1 \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$, it follows from Lemma 2.1.2 that $\iota(\pi_1) \in W\varpi_i$ lies in $\varpi_i - \mathring{Q}_+$. If we write $\pi_1(1) \in P$ in the form $\pi_1(1) = \varpi_i - a_0^{-1}\beta_1 + a_0^{-1}K_1\delta$ with $\beta_1 \in \mathring{Q}_+$ and $K_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ (see Lemma 3.1.1), then by Proposition 3.1.4 (1) applied to $\mathrm{cl}(\pi_1) = \eta^\flat \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$,

$$-K_1 \le \mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta^\flat). \tag{4.5.7}$$

Also, if we write $\kappa(\pi_1) \in W\varpi_i$ in the form $\varpi_i - \beta_1' + k_1' d_{\varpi_i}\delta$ with $\beta_1' \in \mathring{Q}_+$ and $k_1' \in \mathbb{Z}$, then by Proposition 3.1.4 (2) applied to $\mathrm{cl}(\pi_1) = \eta^\flat \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$,

$$k_1' \ge k^\flat, \tag{4.5.8}$$

where we recall from the discussion preceding this lemma that $\nu^\flat = \kappa(\pi_{\eta^\flat}) \in W\varpi_i$ equals $w_0\varpi_i + k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}\delta$.

Since $\pi_{\eta'} = \pi_1 * \pi_2 \in \mathbb{B}(2\varpi_i)$, it follows from Lemma 4.5.2 that $\iota(\pi_2) \le \kappa(\pi_1) = \varpi_i - \beta_1' + k_1' d_{\varpi_i}\delta$. Hence, by Lemma 2.1.2 and Remark 2.1.3, $\iota(\pi_2) \in W\varpi_i$ is of the form $\iota(\pi_2) = \varpi_i - \beta_2' + k_2' d_{\varpi_i}\delta$, with $\beta_2' \in \mathring{Q}_+$ and $k_2' \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$k_2' \ge k_1'. \tag{4.5.9}$$

If we set $\pi_2' := \pi_2 - \pi_{k_2' d_{\varpi_i}\delta}$, then it follows from Lemma 2.2.5 that $\pi_2' \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)$. In addition, we have $\mathrm{cl}(\pi_2') = \mathrm{cl}(\pi_2) = \eta$, and by Remark 2.2.3, $\iota(\pi_2') = \iota(\pi_2) - k_2' d_{\varpi_i}\delta = \varpi_i - \beta_2' \in \varpi_i - \mathring{Q}_+$. Hence, if we write $\pi_2'(1) \in P$ in the form $\pi_2'(1) = \varpi_i - a_0^{-1}\beta_2 + a_0^{-1}K_2\delta$ with $\beta_2 \in \mathring{Q}_+$ and $K_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ (see Lemma 3.1.1), then by Proposition 3.1.4 (1) applied to $\mathrm{cl}(\pi_2') = \eta \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$,

$$-K_2 \le \mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta). \tag{4.5.10}$$

From the above, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_{\eta'}(1) = (\pi_1 * \pi_2)(1) &= \pi_1(1) + \pi_2(1) = \pi_1(1) + \pi_2'(1) + k_2' d_{\varpi_i}\delta \\
&= (\varpi_i - a_0^{-1}\beta_1 + a_0^{-1}K_1\delta) + (\varpi_i - a_0^{-1}\beta_2 + a_0^{-1}K_2\delta) + k_2' d_{\varpi_i}\delta \\
&= 2\varpi_i - a_0^{-1}(\beta_1 + \beta_2) + a_0^{-1}(K_1 + K_2 + a_0 k_2' d_{\varpi_i})\delta,
\end{aligned}$$

and hence that $\mathrm{Deg}_{2\varpi_i}(\eta') = -K_1 - K_2 - a_0 k'_2 d_{\varpi_i}$. By (4.5.7), (4.5.10), and the inequalities (4.5.8) and (4.5.9), we obtain

$$\mathrm{Deg}_{2\varpi_i}(\eta') = -K_1 - K_2 - a_0 k'_2 d_{\varpi_i} \le \mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta^\flat) + \mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta) - a_0 k^\flat d_{\varpi_i},$$

which is the desired inequality (4.5.6). This completes the proof of part (2). $\square$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 4.1.3.

Proof of Theorem 4.1.3. Let $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$, and set $\eta' := \Psi^{-1}_{\varpi_i,\varpi_i}(\eta^\flat \otimes \eta) \in \mathbb{B}(2\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$. It follows from Lemma 4.5.3 that

$$\mathrm{Deg}_{2\varpi_i}(\eta') = H_{\varpi_i,\varpi_i}(\eta^\flat \otimes \eta) + 2\,\mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_{i_1}}(\eta^\flat). \tag{4.5.11}$$

In addition, we deduce from Lemma 4.5.4 (2) and the definition of $\mathrm{Deg}_{2\varpi_i}(\eta')$, by using (4.5.4), that

$$\mathrm{Deg}_{2\varpi_i}(\eta') = \mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta^\flat) + \mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta) - a_0 k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}. \tag{4.5.12}$$

Hence, by combining (4.5.11) and (4.5.12), we obtain

$$H_{\varpi_i,\varpi_i}(\eta^\flat \otimes \eta) = \mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta) - \mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta^\flat) - a_0 k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}. \tag{4.5.13}$$

In particular, by taking $\eta = \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)} \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ in (4.5.13), we obtain $H_{\varpi_i,\varpi_i}(\eta^\flat \otimes \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)}) = \mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)}) - \mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta^\flat) - a_0 k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}$. But, since $\mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)}) = 0$ by Lemma 3.2.1 (1), it follows that

$$H_{\varpi_i,\varpi_i}(\eta^\flat \otimes \eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)}) = -\,\mathrm{Deg}_{\varpi_i}(\eta^\flat) - a_0 k^\flat d_{\varpi_i}. \tag{4.5.14}$$

Equation (4.1.5) follows immediately from (4.5.13) and (4.5.14). This establishes Theorem 4.1.3. $\square$

4.6 Relation to one-dimensional sums. For each $i \in I_0$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$, we denote by $W^{(i)}_s$ the so-called Kirillov-Reshetikhin module (KR module for short) over the quantum affine algebra $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$, which is a finite-dimensional irreducible $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module with specific Drinfeld polynomials (for details, see the comment preceding [HKOTT, Conjecture 2.1]). In [HKOTT, Conjecture 2.1] (see also [HKOTY, Conjecture 2.1]), they conjectured that the KR modules $W^{(i)}_s$, $i \in I_0$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$, have simple crystal bases $\mathcal{B}^{i,s}$, called KR crystals. Further, assuming the existence of the KR crystals $\mathcal{B}^{i,s}$ for $i \in I_0$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}$, they introduced a special kind of classically restricted one-dimensional sums (1dsums for short) associated to tensor products of $\mathcal{B}^{i,s}$, $i \in I_0$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}$. Here we should mention that it is confirmed through enough evidence (see, for example, [Kas4] and [FL]) that the level-zero fundamental representation $W(\varpi_i)$ is indeed the KR module $W^{(i)}_1$, and hence the crystal basis $\mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)$ of $W(\varpi_i)$ is the KR crystal $\mathcal{B}^{i,1}$ for every $i \in I_0$ (see also [HKOTT, Remark 2.3]).

Now, following the definition in [HKOTY, §3] and [HKOTT, §3.3] of classically restricted 1dsums, we define classically restricted 1dsums associated to tensor products of the simple crystals $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}\,(\simeq \mathcal{B}(\varpi_i))$, $i \in I_0$, in place of $\mathcal{B}^{i,1}$, $i \in I_0$, as follows. Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$ be a sequence of elements of I_0, and $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_1})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_2})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_n})_{\mathrm{cl}}$. Then, for an element $\mu \in \mathrm{cl}(P_+^0) = \sum_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\, \mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)$, the classically restricted 1dsum $X(\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mu; q)$ is defined by:

$$X(\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mu; q) = \sum_{\substack{b \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}} \\ e_j b = \mathbf{0}\ (j \in I_0) \\ \mathrm{wt}\, b = \mu}} q^{D_{\mathbf{i}}(b)},$$

where $D_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}} \to \mathbb{Z}$ is as defined in (4.1.1). Because the root operators e_j, $j \in I_0$, on $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ and those on $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ are compatible with the isomorphism $\Psi_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ of P_{cl}-crystals, we obtain the following corollary of Theorem 4.1.1.

Corollary 4.6.1. *Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$ be a sequence of elements of I_0, and set $\lambda := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varpi_{i_k} \in P_+^0$. For every $\mu \in \mathrm{cl}(P_+^0) = \sum_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\, \mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)$, the following equation holds:*

$$\sum_{\substack{\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \\ e_j \eta = \mathbf{0}\ (j \in I_0) \\ \eta(1) = \mu}} q^{\mathrm{Deg}_\lambda(\eta)} = q^{-D_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{ext}}} X(\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mu; q). \tag{4.6.1}$$

Remark 4.6.2 *(see also [HKOTT, Proposition 3.9]).* Let $\lambda \in P_+^0$, and let $\mu \in \mathrm{cl}(P_+^0)$. We see from Corollary 4.6.1 that $q^{-D_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{ext}}} X(\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mu; q)$ does not depend on the choice of a sequence $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$ of elements of I_0 such that $\lambda = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varpi_{i_k}$.

4.7 Relation to the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials. Let $i \in I_0$. Recall the element $\eta_{\widetilde{\varpi}_i} \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ introduced in §4.1, where $\widetilde{\varpi}_i = w_0\, \mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i) \in P_{\mathrm{cl}}$. We note that if $\mathrm{res}_{I_0} \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is connected (see §2.3 for the definition of $\mathrm{res}_{I_0} \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$), then the element $\eta_{\widetilde{\varpi}_i}$ is the unique element of $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ such that $f_j \eta_{\widetilde{\varpi}_i} = \mathbf{0}$ for all $j \in I_0$, since the P_{cl}-crystal $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is regular.

Lemma 4.7.1. *Let $i \in I_0$, and assume that* $\mathrm{res}_{I_0} \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ *is connected. Then, the equation* $H_{\varpi_i, \varpi_i}(\eta_{\widetilde{\varpi}_i} \otimes \eta) = 0$ *holds for all* $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$.

Remark 4.7.2. Since the P_{cl}-crystal $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is regular, we see that $\mathrm{res}_{I_0} \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is connected if and only if $W(\varpi_i)$ is irreducible when regarded as a $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})_{I_0}$-module by restriction. Therefore, we deduce from [Kas4, Lemma 4.3 (ii)] that if $a_i^\vee = 1$, then $\mathrm{res}_{I_0} \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is connected.

Proof of Lemma 4.7.1. Since $\mathrm{res}_{I_0} \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is connected by the assumption of the lemma, there exists a monomial X in the root operators f_j for $j \in I_0$ such that $X\eta = \eta_{\widetilde{\varpi}_i}$. Since $f_j \eta_{\widetilde{\varpi}_i} = \mathbf{0}$ for all $j \in I_0$, it follows from the tensor product rule for crystals that

$$X(\eta_{\widetilde{\varpi}_i} \otimes \eta) = \eta_{\widetilde{\varpi}_i} \otimes X\eta = \eta_{\widetilde{\varpi}_i} \otimes \eta_{\widetilde{\varpi}_i}.$$

Furthermore, we see from the proof of [AK, Lemma 1.6 (1)] that

$$S_{w_0}X(\eta_{\widetilde{\varpi}_i}\otimes\eta)=S_{w_0}(\eta_{\widetilde{\varpi}_i}\otimes\eta_{\widetilde{\varpi}_i})=(S_{w_0}\eta_{\widetilde{\varpi}_i})\otimes(S_{w_0}\eta_{\widetilde{\varpi}_i}),$$

and from Remark 2.4.3 that $S_{w_0}\eta_{\widetilde{\varpi}_i}=\eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)}$. Since $w_0\in\overset{\circ}{W}$, it follows from the definition of S_{w_0} (see (2.3.2)) that there exists a monomial X' in the root operators e_j, f_j for $j\in I_0$ such that $S_{w_0}X(\eta_{\widetilde{\varpi}_i}\otimes\eta)=X'X(\eta_{\widetilde{\varpi}_i}\otimes\eta)$. Therefore, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}0&=H_{\varpi_i,\varpi_i}(\eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)}\otimes\eta_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)})\quad\text{by Theorem 2.5.1 (H2)}\\&=H_{\varpi_i,\varpi_i}((S_{w_0}\eta_{\widetilde{\varpi}_i})\otimes(S_{w_0}\eta_{\widetilde{\varpi}_i}))\\&=H_{\varpi_i,\varpi_i}(S_{w_0}X(\eta_{\widetilde{\varpi}_i}\otimes\eta))\\&=H_{\varpi_i,\varpi_i}(X'X(\eta_{\widetilde{\varpi}_i}\otimes\eta))\\&=H_{\varpi_i,\varpi_i}(\eta_{\widetilde{\varpi}_i}\otimes\eta)\quad\text{by Theorem 2.5.1 (H1).}\end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. □

Remark 4.7.3. Let $\mathbf{i}=(i_1,\,i_2,\,\dots,\,i_n)$ be a sequence of elements of I_0 such that $a_{i_k}^{\vee}=1$ for all $1\le k\le n$. Then we know from Remark 4.7.2 that $\mathrm{res}_{I_0}\,\mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_k})_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is connected for all $1\le k\le n$. Therefore, we see from Lemma 4.7.1 and the definitions of $D_{\mathbf{i}}$ and $D_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{ext}}$ that for every $\eta_1\otimes\eta_2\otimes\cdots\otimes\eta_n\in\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}}=\mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_1})_{\mathrm{cl}}\otimes\mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_2})_{\mathrm{cl}}\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_n})_{\mathrm{cl}}$,

$$D_{\mathbf{i}}(\eta_1\otimes\eta_2\otimes\cdots\otimes\eta_n)=\sum_{1\le k<l\le n}H_{\varpi_{i_k},\varpi_{i_l}}(\eta_k\otimes\eta_l^{(k+1)}),\quad\text{and}\quad D_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{ext}}=0.\tag{4.7.1}$$

Now we restrict our attention to the case in which $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $A_{\ell-1}^{(1)}$. Because $a_i^{\vee}=1$ for all $i\in I_0$ in the case of type $A_{\ell-1}^{(1)}$, we know from Remark 4.7.2 that $\mathrm{res}_{I_0}\,\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is connected for all $i\in I_0$. In fact, we can check by direct calculation that every ϖ_i is "minuscule", i.e., that $\varpi_i(\beta^{\vee})\in\{0,\pm1\}$ for all $\beta\in\overset{\circ}{\Delta}:=\overset{\circ}{W}\Pi_{I_0}\subset\Delta^{\mathrm{re}}$, where $\Pi_{I_0}=\{\alpha_j\}_{j\in I_0}$, and hence that $\varpi_i(\xi^{\vee})\in\{0,\pm1\}$ for all $\xi\in\Delta_{+}^{\mathrm{re}}$. Using this fact, we deduce from the definition of LS paths that

$$\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}=\{\eta_\mu\mid\mu\in\mathrm{cl}(W\varpi_i)=\overset{\circ}{W}\,\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)\}\tag{4.7.2}$$

for every $i\in I_0$. Also, it follows from the definition of the root operators e_j (resp., f_j), $j\in I$, that for $\mu\in\overset{\circ}{W}\,\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)$ and $j\in I$:

(1) $e_j\eta_\mu\neq\mathbf{0}$ (resp., $f_j\eta_\mu\neq\mathbf{0}$) if and only if $\mu(h_j)=-1$ (resp., $=1$);

(2) if $e_j\eta_\mu\neq\mathbf{0}$ (resp., $f_j\eta_\mu\neq\mathbf{0}$), then $e_j\eta_\mu$ (resp., $f_j\eta_\mu$) $=\eta_{r_j\mu}$, (4.7.3)
with $r_j(\mu)=\mu+\mathrm{cl}(\alpha_j)$ (resp., $=\mu-\mathrm{cl}(\alpha_j)$).

Here we recall from [NY, §§2.1 and 2.4] the P_{cl}-crystals $B_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)}$ (adapted to our notation), $i\in I_0=\{1,\,2,\,\dots,\,\ell-1\}$. Let $i\in I_0=\{1,\,2,\,\dots,\,\ell-1\}$. Then, the crystal

$B_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)}$ consists of all Young diagrams of shape (1^ℓ) having i dots (in all) with at most one dot in each box. The weight $\mathrm{wt}(b) \in P_{\mathrm{cl}}$ of the element $b \in B_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)}$ having dots exactly in the j_1-th box, j_2-th box, $\dots$, j_i-th box with $1 \le j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_i \le \ell$, is given by: $\gamma_{j_1} + \gamma_{j_2} + \cdots + \gamma_{j_i} \in P_{\mathrm{cl}}$, where we set $\gamma_j := \mathrm{cl}(\varpi_j) - \mathrm{cl}(\varpi_{j-1})$ for $1 \le j \le \ell$, with $\varpi_0 = \varpi_\ell = 0 \in P$.

Now, for each $i \in I_0 = \{1, 2, \dots, \ell-1\}$, we define a map $\Phi_i : B_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)} \to \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ by: $\Phi_i(b) = \eta_{\mathrm{wt}(b)}$ for $b \in B_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)}$, which is easily seen to be a (well-defined) bijection that preserves weights, since the subgroup $\overset{\circ}{W} \subset W$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group S_ℓ permuting the γ_j, $1 \le j \le \ell$, and since $\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i) = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \cdots + \gamma_i$. Actually, the map $\Phi_i : B_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)} \to \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is an isomorphism of P_{cl}-crystals. Indeed, from the definition in [NY, §2.4] of the Kashiwara operators e_j (resp., f_j), $j \in I$, on $B_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)}$, we see that for $b \in B_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)}$ and $j \in I$, $e_j b \ne \mathbf{0}$ (resp., $f_j b \ne \mathbf{0}$) if and only if $(\mathrm{wt}(b))(h_j) = -1$ (resp., $= 1$), since $\gamma_j = \mathrm{cl}(\varpi_j) - \mathrm{cl}(\varpi_{j-1}) = \mathrm{cl}(\Lambda_j) - \mathrm{cl}(\Lambda_{j-1})$ for $2 \le j \le \ell-1$, and $\gamma_1 = \mathrm{cl}(\varpi_1) = \mathrm{cl}(\Lambda_1) - \mathrm{cl}(\Lambda_0)$, $\gamma_\ell = -\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_{\ell-1}) = -\mathrm{cl}(\Lambda_{\ell-1}) + \mathrm{cl}(\Lambda_0)$. Also, if $e_j b \ne \mathbf{0}$ (resp., $f_j b \ne \mathbf{0}$), then we have $\mathrm{wt}(e_j b) = \mathrm{wt}(b) + \mathrm{cl}(\alpha_j)$ (resp., $\mathrm{wt}(f_j b) = \mathrm{wt}(b) - \mathrm{cl}(\alpha_j)$). Therefore, from (4.7.3), we conclude that $\Phi_i : B_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)} \to \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is an isomorphism of P_{cl}-crystals.

Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$ be an arbitrary sequence of elements of $I_0 = \{1, 2, \dots, \ell-1\}$ such that $i_1 \ge i_2 \ge \cdots \ge i_n$, and set $\lambda := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varpi_{i_k} \in P_+^0$; we denote this sequence $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$ by $\lambda^\dagger$ when we regard it as a partition (or a Young diagram). In the following, we identify an element $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \mu^{(i)} \,\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i) \in \mathrm{cl}(P_+^0)$ with the partition

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \mu^{(i)},\ \sum_{i=2}^{\ell-1} \mu^{(i)},\ \dots,\ \mu^{(\ell-1)} \right);$$

note that the elements $\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)$, $1 \le i \le \ell-1$, of $\mathfrak{h}^*/\mathbb{Q}\delta$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. Because $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is isomorphic as a P_{cl}-crystal to $B_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)}$ through the map $\Phi_i : B_{\mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)} \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$, and, in addition, $\mathrm{res}_{I_0} \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ is connected for every $i \in I_0 = \{1, 2, \dots, \ell-1\}$, we deduce from [NY, Corollary 4.3] along with (4.7.1) that for every $\mu \in \mathrm{cl}(P_+^0)$, the Kostka-Foulkes polynomial $K_{\mu^t, \lambda^\dagger}(q)$ (defined in [M, Chap. III, §6]) associated to the conjugate (or transpose) μ^t of the partition μ and the partition $\lambda^\dagger$ is equal to the following:

$$X(\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mu; q^{-1}) = \sum_{\substack{b \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}} \\ e_j b = \mathbf{0}\ (j \in I_0) \\ \mathrm{wt}\, b = \mu}} q^{-D_{\mathbf{i}}(b)}. \tag{4.7.4}$$

By combining this fact and Corollary 4.6.1 (along with (4.7.1)), we obtain the following expression for the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials in terms of LS paths.

Corollary 4.7.4. *Assume that* $\mathfrak{g}$ *is of type* $A_{\ell-1}^{(1)}$*, and keep the notation above. Let* $\mu \in \mathrm{cl}(P_+^0) = \sum_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\, \mathrm{cl}(\varpi_i)$*. Then, the following equation holds*:

$$K_{\mu^t,\,\lambda^\dagger}(q) = \sum_{\substack{\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \\ e_j \eta = \mathbf{0}\,(j \in I_0) \\ \eta(1) = \mu}} q^{-\operatorname{Deg}_\lambda(\eta)}.$$

References

[AK] T. Akasaka and M. Kashiwara, Finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **33** (1997), 839–867.

[FL] G. Fourier and P. Littelmann, Tensor product structure of affine Demazure modules and limit constructions, math.RT/0412432.

[GL] J. Greenstein and P. Lamprou, Path model for quantum loop modules of fundamental type, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2004**, no.14, 675–711.

[HKOTT] G. Hatayama, A. Kuniba, M. Okado, T. Takagi, and Z. Tsuboi, Paths, crystals and fermionic formulae, *in* “MathPhys Odyssey 2001, Integrable Models and Beyond” (M. Kashiwara and T. Miwa, Eds.), Prog. Math. Phys. Vol. 23, pp. 205–272, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2002.

[HKOTY] G. Hatayama, A. Kuniba, M. Okado, T. Takagi, and Y. Yamada, Remarks on fermionic formula, *in* “Recent Developments in Quantum Affine Algebras and Related Topics” (N. Jing and K.C. Misra, Eds.), Contemp. Math. Vol. 248, pp. 243–291, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.

[HK] J. Hong and S.-J. Kang, “Introduction to quantum groups and crystal bases”, Graduate Studies in Mathematics Vol. 42, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.

[Kac] V. G. Kac, “Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras”, 3rd Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990.

[KMN] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, K. C. Misra, T. Miwa, T. Nakashima, and A. Nakayashiki, Affine crystals and vertex models, *in* Infinite analysis, Part A, B (Kyoto, 1991), 449–484, Adv. Ser. Math. Phys., 16, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1992.

[Kas1] M. Kashiwara, Crystal bases of modified quantized enveloping algebra, *Duke Math. J.* **73** (1994), 383–413.

[Kas2] M. Kashiwara, On crystal bases, *in* “Representations of Groups” (B. N. Allison and G. H. Cliff, Eds.), CMS Conf. Proc. Vol. 16, pp. 155–197, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.

[Kas3] M. Kashiwara, On level-zero representations of quantized affine algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **112** (2002), 117–175.

[Kas4] M. Kashiwara, Level zero fundamental representations over quantized affine algebras and Demazure modules, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **41** (2005), 223–250.

[L1] P. Littelmann, A Littlewood-Richardson rule for symmetrizable Kac–Moody algebras, *Invent. Math.* **116** (1994), 329–346.

[L2] P. Littelmann, Paths and root operators in representation theory, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **142** (1995), 499–525.

[M] I.G. Macdonald, “Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials”, 2nd ed., Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.

[NS1] S. Naito and D. Sagaki, Path model for a level-zero extremal weight module over a quantum affine algebra, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2003**, no.32, 1731–1754.

[NS2] S. Naito and D. Sagaki, Path model for a level-zero extremal weight module over a quantum affine algebra. II, *Adv. Math.* **200** (2006), 102–124.

[NS3] S. Naito and D. Sagaki, Crystal of Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths associated to an integral weight of level zero for an affine Lie algebra, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2005**, no.14, 815–840.

[NS4] S. Naito and D. Sagaki, Crystal structure of the set of Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths of an arbitrary level-zero shape, math.QA/0510017.

[NY] A. Nakayashiki and Y. Yamada, Kostka polynomials and energy functions in solvable lattice models, *Selecta Math.* (N.S.) **3** (1997), 547–599.

[O] M. Okado, $X = M$ conjecture, preprint.